

1
2 **“A Descriptive Study to Assess the Level of Knowledge and Attitudes Regarding**
3 **Internet Addiction Among Students Studying in a Selected College of Nursing, Imphal”.**
4
5
6

7 **Abstract:**

8 The world has become village due to development in IT-sector. Internet now a
9 necessity in people’s daily life irrespective of gender, class and status. Student community
10 specially spent time on browsing, social networking, gaming, online-shopping etc. etc. &
11 they are easily diverted from the focus of their studies which may leads into adverse effect on
12 the academic performances and other activities. So, it’s now necessary to make them
13 understand especially the students to aware more on internet savvy. Cross-sectional research
14 design used with 150 students by using non-probability purposive sampling technique. Data
15 were collected using Structured Knowledge Questionnaire & 3-Point Likert Scale and
16 analyzed by descriptive & inferential statistics. In 150 respondents, majority of 68 (45.4%)
17 had moderate knowledge, 47 (31.3%) inadequate knowledge and 35 (23.3%) adequate
18 knowledge. Overall mean score obtained 10.49 ± 3.38 (Mean \pm SD) & mean score average
19 58.3% of knowledge regarding internet addiction. Among 150 respondents, majority of 123
20 (82.0%) had moderately favourable attitudes whereas 20 (13.3%) & 7 (4.7%) were
21 favourable and unfavourable attitudes, indicates that more acceptability towards internet
22 addiction. Overall mean score obtained 39.26 ± 5.39 (Mean \pm SD) & mean score average
23 65.4% of attitudes regarding internet addiction. There was significant association between
24 knowledge regarding internet addiction and family income ($\chi^2 = 16.510$) & were significant
25 association between attitudes regarding internet addiction such as gender ($\chi^2 = 15.524$),
26 father’s occupational status ($\chi^2 = 15.123$) and mother’s occupational status ($\chi^2 = 13.571$) at the
27 level of significance $p < 0.05$. Correlation between knowledge score & attitudes score
28 regarding internet addiction was found to be ($r = .163$) which was a positive correlation and
29 statistically significant at $p < 0.05$. Most of the students had moderate knowledge regarding
30 internet addiction and a positive attitude towards internet addiction. There was a positive
31 correlation between knowledge scores and attitudes scores regarding internet addiction
32 among students.
33
34

35 **Keywords**

36 Knowledge, Attitudes, Internet Addiction, Students
37
38

39 **Introduction:**

40 The internet is a worldwide system of interconnected computer networks. The internet
41 provides entertainment, lots of information and it makes people connected every second. When
42 someone becomes addicted to the internet they will have increasing tolerance to the amount of
43 time spend online, too much a good thing can be addictive. Addicted individual may develop
44 withdrawal, mood changes between when online and off, and there will be an interruption of
45 social relationships and day today activities of a person. The occurrence of the dangerousness of
46 internet addiction due to improper ways of using internet other than educational purpose. It
47 can be a nightmare, however researcher is aware of the excessive binge of internet. For that
48 reason researcher is interested to create awareness among college students. These instances
49 provoked the researcher to select the study on assessment the level of knowledge and

50 attitudes regarding internet addiction among students studying in a selected College of
51 Nursing, Imphal.

52

53 **Methods:**

54 A cross-sectional research design was adopted to assess the knowledge and attitudes
55 regarding internet addiction among students studying in the Kangleipak Nursing Institute,
56 Khurai Konsam Leikai, Imphal. Total 150 students were selected using non-probability
57 purposive sampling technique. The data were collected by using Structured Knowledge
58 Questionnaire and 3-Point Likert Scale. Reliability of the tool was tested and validity was
59 ensured in consultation with nursing experts, psychiatrist and psychologist. Collected data
60 were analyzed by using descriptive and inferential statistics.

61 **Results:**

62 Figure 1 shows that majority 68 i.e. 45.4% respondents had moderate knowledge,
63 31.3% respondents had inadequate knowledge and 23.3% respondents had adequate
64 knowledge regarding internet addiction. Table 1 depicts majority 90% of students had much
65 knowledge on the item 3. (The age group of people which are most affected from internet
66 addiction problem) whereas 31.3% of students had least knowledge on the item 12. (The first
67 step in treatment for internet addiction). Figure 2 shows that maximum of students 82.0%
68 were in moderately favourable attitudes regarding internet addiction whereas 13.3% of
69 students were in favourable attitudes and minimum of students 4.7% were in unfavourable
70 attitudes. Table 2 depicts that the highest 61.3% had in the item 5 (Do you use the internet to
71 contact with friends?) and lowest 7.3% had in the item 18 (Do you get defensive when
72 someone asks you what you've been doing online?) were in "always" attitudes of students.
73 The highest 71.3% had in the item 7 (Are you preoccupied with being online during other
74 activities?) and item 11 (Do you get annoyed when someone interrupts you while on the
75 phone/laptop?) and lowest 31.3% had in the item 10 (Are you interested in online
76 relationship?) were in "sometimes" attitudes of students. And the highest 55.3% had in the
77 item 10 (Are you interested in online relationship?) and lowest 2.0% had in the item 5 (Do
78 you use the internet to contact with friends?) were in "never" attitudes of students. Table 3
79 shows that the knowledge mean score obtained by the respondents were 10.49 ± 3.38
80 (Mean \pm SD). Among the knowledge questions, mean % was 58.3%. Whereas the attitudes
81 mean score obtained by the respondents were 39.26 ± 5.39 (Mean \pm SD). Among the attitude
82 questions, the mean % was 65.4%. Table 4 depicts that the p-value of family income was .036
83 which is significantly associated with knowledge scores. The p-value of age, year of the
84 study, gender, religion, category, types of family, father's occupational status, mother's
85 occupational status and residential area were .066, .471, .301, .364, .217, .405, .248, .077 and
86 .815 respectively which are insignificant association with the knowledge scores. Table 5
87 depicts that the p-value of gender, father's occupational status and mother's occupational
88 were .000*, .019* and .035* respectively which are significant association with attitudes
89 scores. The p-value of age, year of the study, religion, category, types of family and
90 residential area were .518, .105, .449, .870, .442, .991 and .899 respectively which are
91 insignificant association with attitudes scores of the students regarding internet addiction.
92 Table 6 depicts that the mean average of knowledge score was 10.49 ± 3.38 (Mean \pm SD) and
93 mean average of attitudes score was 39.26 ± 5.39 (Mean \pm SD) regarding internet addiction
94 among the students. 16.3% knowledge and attitudes scores are positively correlated and with
95 significantly associated ($p = .046^* < 0.05$).

96




Figure 1: A pie graph showing knowledge scores of students regarding internet addiction.

n=150

Knowledge Question	Correct answer		Wrong answer	
	F	%	F	%
1. Internet addiction may be defined as	102	68.0	48	32.0
2. Internet addiction is a condition in which	90	60.0	60	40.0
3. The age group of people which are most affected from internet addiction problem is	135	90.0	15	10.0
4. Type of internet addiction can be recognized by	68	45.3	82	54.7
5. The risk factors of internet addiction is	105	70.0	45	30.0
6. The sign of internet addiction is	89	59.3	61	40.7
7. The physical symptoms of internet addiction disorder is	110	73.3	40	26.7
8. The emotional symptoms of internet addiction disorder is	91	60.7	59	39.3
9. The short-term effects of an online internet addiction is	85	56.7	65	43.3
10. The brain chemical which promotes the pleasurable experience during internet addiction is	58	38.7	92	61.3
11. If you feel you have internet addiction, the most priority is to	87	58.0	63	42.0
12. The first step in treatment for internet addiction is	47	31.3	103	68.7
13. The most common psychological treatments of Internet Addiction Disorder	67	44.7	83	55.3
14. Drugs used for effective treatment of internet addiction problem is	52	34.7	98	65.3
15. The best tips to stay away from internet addiction is.....	120	80.0	30	20.0
16. The example of Net compulsion is	81	54.0	69	46.0
17. Possible impacts of internet addiction on academic performance	94	62.7	56	37.3
18. The social problems that may occurs as a result of internet addiction	92	61.3	58	38.7

Table 1: Item wise frequency and percentage distribution of structured knowledge questionnaire of students regarding internet addiction




Figure 2: A column graph showing attitude scores of students regarding internet addiction.

n=150

Question	Attitudes scores of students					
	Never		Sometimes		Always	
	F	%	F	%	F	%
Q1 Do you turn your phone/laptop on first thing in the morning?	5	3.3	78	52.0	67	44.7
Q2 Do you check your email before doing some other task that needs doing?	38	25.3	95	63.3	17	11.4
Q3 Do you feel a need to set limits on how long you will be online?	30	20.0	93	62.0	27	18.0
Q4 Do you often stay online frequently “just after another minute”?	23	15.3	103	68.7	24	16.0
Q5 Do you use the internet to contact with friends?	3	2.0	55	36.7	92	61.3
Q6 When your internet connection is disconnect, do you feel an urgent need to get it back?	17	11.3	91	60.7	42	28.0
Q7 Are you preoccupied with being online during other activities?	31	20.7	107	71.3	12	8.0
Q8 Do you hide the amount of time you spend online from others?	81	54.0	54	36.0	15	10.0
Q9 Is being on the phone/laptop more exciting than being with people?	41	27.3	94	62.7	15	10.0
Q10 Are you interested in online relationship?	83	55.3	47	31.3	20	13.3
Q11 Do you get annoyed when someone interrupts you while on the phone/laptop?	18	12.0	107	71.3	25	16.7
Q12 When you walk away from the phone/laptop, do you try to figure out when is the next time you’ll be able to return?	41	27.3	76	50.7	33	22.0
Q13 Do you think about online activities in order to calm down?	25	16.7	100	66.7	25	16.7
Q14 Do you sleep less than you need to because you are on the phone/laptop?	35	23.3	85	56.7	30	20.0
Q15 Have you tried to cut down your internet use and failed?	31	20.7	103	68.7	16	10.7

Q16 Does life without internet sound scary, bland, or joyless?	45	30.0	67	44.7	38	25.3
Q17 Do the people around you complain about how much time you spend online?	32	21.3	80	53.3	38	25.3
Q18 Do you get defensive when someone asks you what you've been doing online?	39	26.0	100	66.7	11	7.3
Q19 Does your performance of academic suffer because of the time you spend online?	38	25.3	84	56.0	28	18.7
Q20 Do you neglect responsibilities because of the time you spend online?	35	23.3	95	63.3	20	13.3

Table 2: Item wise frequency and percentage distribution of attitudes scores regarding internet addiction among students.

n=150

Sl. No.	Overall score	Statement	Max. score	Min. score	Responded Knowledge			
					Mean	Mean %	Median	SD
I.	Knowledge	18	18	0	10.49	58.3%	10.0	3.38
II.	Attitudes	20	60	20	39.26	65.4%	39.5	5.39

Table 3: Mean, median and standard deviation knowledge and attitudes on internet addiction among the students.

n=150

Demographic variables	Category	Frequency						Total		Chi-square	p-value
		Adequate		Moderate		Inadequate		F	%		
		F	%	F	%	F	%				
Age	18-20 years	11	19.0	24	41.4	23	39.7	58	100	11.832	.66
	21-23 years	15	20.3	38	51.4	21	28.4	74	100		
	24-26 years	8	47.1	6	35.3	3	17.6	17	100		
	27-29 years	1	100	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	100		
Year of the study	1 st year	8	21.1	14	36.8	16	42.1	38	100	5.588	.471
	2 nd year	8	21.6	18	48.6	11	29.7	37	100		
	3 rd year	5	16.1	17	54.8	9	29.0	31	100		
	4 th year	14	31.8	19	43.2	11	25.0	44	100		
Gender	Male	12	30.8	18	46.2	9	23.1	39	100	2.403	.301
	Female	23	20.7	50	45.0	38	34.2	111	100		
Religion	Hindu	18	29.5	31	50.8	12	19.7	51	100	8.747	.364
	Muslim	3	15.8	9	47.4	7	36.8	19	100		
	Christian	4	18.2	9	40.9	9	40.9	22	100		
	Meitei	10	21.3	18	38.3	19	40.4	47	100		
	Others	0	0.0	1	100	0	0.0	1	100		
Category	General	9	42.9	8	38.1	4	19.0	21	100	8.302	.217
	OBC	20	19.8	47	46.5	34	33.7	101	100		
	SC	3	42.9	3	42.9	1	14.3	7	100		
	ST	3	14.3	10	47.6	8	38.1	21	100		
Types of family	Nuclear family	22	23.4	46	48.9	26	27.7	94	100	1.806	.405
	Joint family	13	23.2	22	39.3	21	37.5	56	100		
Father's occupational	Self-emp.	11	21.2	28	53.8	13	25.0	52	100	7.861	.248
	Private emp. in private sector	6	42.9	2	14.3	6	42.9	14	100		

status	Govt. emp.	12	22.6	24	45.3	17	32.1	53	100		
	Unemp.	6	19.4	14	45.2	11	35.5	31	100		
Mother's occupational status	Home maker	17	17.0	48	48.0	35	35.0	100	100	11.378	.077
	Self emp.	7	25.9	14	51.9	6	22.2	27	100		
	Private emp.	4	50.0	2	25.0	2	25.0	8	100		
	Govt. emp.	7	46.7	4	26.7	4	26.7	15	100		
Family income	≤10001	8	19.5	18	43.9	15	36.6	41	100	16.510	.036*
	10002-29972	7	15.2	30	65.2	9	19.6	46	100		
	29973-49961	13	29.5	13	29.5	18	40.9	44	100		
	49962-74755	5	31.3	6	37.5	5	31.3	16	100		
	≥74755	2	66.7	1	33.3	0	0.0	3	100		
Residential area	Rural	21	23.6	38	42.7	30	33.7	89	100	1.565	.815
	Urban	12	23.5	26	51.0	13	25.5	51	100		
	Hilly	2	20.0	4	40.0	4	40.0	10	100		

*p-value < 0.05, Significant.

Table 4: Association between knowledge scores with selected socio-demographic variables.

n=150

Demographic variables	Category	Frequency						Total		Chi-square	p-value
		Favorable		Moderate		Un-favorable		F	%		
		F	%	F	%	F	%				
Age	18-20 years	6	10.3	49	84.5	3	5.2	58	100	5.207	.518
	21-23 years	9	12.2	61	82.4	4	5.4	74	100		
	24-26 years	5	29.4	12	70.6	0	0.0	17	100		
	27-29 years	0	0.0	1	100	0	0.0	1	100		
Year of the study	1 st year	6	15.8	29	76.3	3	7.9	38	100	10.499	.105
	2 nd year	4	10.8	33	89.2	0	0.0	37	100		
	3 rd year	3	9.7	24	77.4	4	12.9	31	100		
	4 th year	7	15.9	37	84.1	0	0.0	44	100		
Gender	Male	12	30.8	27	69.2	0	0.0	39	100	15.524	.000*
	Female	8	7.2	96	86.5	7	6.3	111	100		
Religion	Hindu	10	16.4	50	82.0	1	1.6	51	100	7.842	.449
	Muslim	2	10.5	17	89.5	0	0.0	19	100		
	Christian	4	18.2	17	77.3	1	4.5	22	100		
	Meitei/Meetei	4	8.5	38	80.9	5	10.6	47	100		
	Others	0	8.5	1	100	0	0.0	1	100		
Category	General	3	14.3	18	85.7	0	0.0	21	100	2.485	.870
	OBC	12	11.9	83	82.2	6	5.9	101	100		
	SC	1	14.3	6	85.7	0	0.0	7	100		
	ST	4	19.0	16	76.2	1	4.8	21	100		
Types of family	Nuclear family	14	14.9	77	81.9	3	3.2	94	100	1.634	.442
	Joint family	6	10.7	46	82.1	4	7.1	56	100		
Father's occupational status	Self-emp.	11	21.2	40	76.9	1	1.9	52	100	15.123	.019*
	Private emp. in private sector	1	7.1	11	78.6	2	14.3	14	100		

	Govt. emp.	6	11.3	47	88.7	0	0.0	53	100		
	Unemp.	2	6.5	25	80.6	4	12.9	31	100		
Mother's occupational status	Home maker	12	12.0	84	84.0	4	4.0	100	100	13.571	.035*
	Self emp.	2	7.4	22	81.5	3	11.1	27	100		
	Private emp.	4	50.0	4	50.0	0	0.0	8	100		
	Govt. emp.	2	13.3	13	86.7	0	0.0	15	100		
Family income	≤10001	5	12.2	34	82.9	2	4.9	41	100	1.611	.991
	10002-29972	8	17.4	36	78.3	2	4.3	46	100		
	29973-49961	5	11.4	37	84.1	2	4.5	44	100		
	49962-74755	2	12.5	13	81.3	1	6.3	16	100		
	≥74755	0	0.0	3	100	0	0.0	3	100		
Residential area	Rural	11	12.4	74	83.1	4	4.5	89	100	1.072	.899
	Urban	7	13.7	41	80.4	3	5.9	51	100		
	Hilly	2	20.0	8	80.0	0	0.0	10	100		

*p-value < 0.05, Significant.

Table 5: Association between attitudes scores with selected socio-demographic variables.

Score	Mean	SD	r	p-value
Knowledge score	10.49	3.38	.163	.046*<0.05
Attitudes score	39.26	5.39		

*p-value < 0.05, Significant

Table 6: Correlation between the knowledge and attitudes scores regarding internet addiction among students.

Discussion:

Table 1 shows that majority 135 (90%) of students were given correct answer on the age group of people which are most affected from internet addiction whereas only 47 (31.3%) of students were given correct answer on the question of first step in treatment for internet addiction. It was also inferred that majority of 68 (45.4%) respondents had moderate knowledge, 47 (31.3%) respondents had inadequate knowledge and 35 (23.3%) respondents had adequate knowledge. The overall mean score obtained by the respondents were 10.49±3.38 (Mean±SD) of the level of knowledge regarding internet addiction. Among the knowledge questions, mean score average was 58.3%. The present study findings are supported by the study conducted by Panthri Kiran (2020). Results indicated that 45 (75%) of the samples had average knowledge, 14 (23%) had good knowledge whereas only 1 (2%) of them had poor knowledge regarding consequences of internet addiction.

Table 2 depicts that the highest 61.3% had in the item 5 (Do you use the internet to contact with friends?) and lowest 7.3% had in the item 18 (Do you get defensive when someone asks you what you've been doing online?) were in "always" attitudes of students. The highest 71.3% had in the item 7 (Are you preoccupied with being online during other activities?) and item 11 (Do you get annoyed when someone interrupts you while on the phone/laptop?) and lowest 31.3% had in the item 10 (Are you interested in online relationship?) were in "sometimes" attitudes of students. And the highest 55.3% had in the item 10 (Are you interested in online relationship?) and lowest 2.0% had in the item 5 (Do you use the internet to contact with friends?) were in "never" attitudes of students. Out of 150 students, 123 (82.0%) students had moderately favourable attitude regarding internet addiction whereas 20 (13.3%) and 7 (4.7%) were favourable and unfavourable attitudes

149 indicates that more acceptability towards internet addiction. The overall mean score obtained
150 by the respondents on attitudes score regarding internet addiction was 39.26 ± 5.39 (Mean \pm
151 SD). Among the attitude questions, the mean score average was 65.4%. The present study
152 findings are supported by the study conducted by Karthika S., Kaur Amanpreet, Saini Annu,
153 et. al. (2017) which results out of 300 students, 280 (93.33%) students had moderately
154 favourable attitude regarding internet usage whereas 18 (6%) and 2 (0.7%) were favourable
155 and unfavourable attitudes regarding internet usage.

156 There were no significant association between knowledge regarding internet addiction
157 and selected demographic data such as age ($\chi^2=11.832$), year of the study ($\chi^2=5.588$),
158 gender ($\chi^2=2.403$), religion ($\chi^2=8.747$), category ($\chi^2=8.302$), types of family ($\chi^2=1.806$),
159 father's occupational status ($\chi^2=7.861$), mother's occupational status ($\chi^2=11.378$) and
160 residential area ($\chi^2=1.565$) and the level of internet addiction at $p>0.05$. The above findings
161 are supported by the study conducted by R. Aiswarya, C. Anu Gijo, K. Vineeth Gopi et. al
162 (2018) which showed that there were no significant association between knowledge regarding
163 internet addiction with their selected demographic variables such as age ($\chi^2=0$), gender (male,
164 $\chi^2=0.02$, female, $\chi^2=3.84$), occupational status of father ($\chi^2=9.49$), occupational status of
165 mother ($\chi^2=9.49$), types of using residence ($\chi^2=3.84$) and educational status ($\chi^2=0$) at 0.05
166 level of significance.

167 There was a significant association between knowledge regarding internet addiction
168 and selected demographic data family income ($\chi^2=16.510$) at the level of significance
169 $p<0.05$. The above findings are supported by study conducted by Sonalika Soumya (2019)
170 which showed that there was significant association between level of internet addiction and
171 the selected demographic variables such as income of the family ($\chi^2=8.02$), father's
172 occupation ($\chi^2=4.59$), and types of mobile use ($\chi^2=3.67$) at 0.05 level of significance.

173 There were no significant association between the attitudes score and selected
174 demographic variables such as age ($\chi^2=5.207$), year of the study ($\chi^2=10.499$), religion
175 ($\chi^2=7.842$), category ($\chi^2=2.485$), types of family ($\chi^2=1.634$), family income ($\chi^2=1.611$) and
176 residential area ($\chi^2=1.072$) respectively and the level of significance $p<0.05$. The chi-square
177 results reveals that there were a significant association between the attitudes scores and
178 selected demographic variables such as gender ($\chi^2=15.524$), father's occupational status
179 ($\chi^2=15.123$) and mother's occupational status ($\chi^2=13.571$) at the level of significance at
180 $p<0.05$. The above findings are supported by the study conducted by H. Chacko, JT. Joseph,
181 PR. Aranha, et. al. (2015) which showed that there was a significant association between
182 attitudes score and gender ($\chi^2=3.84$) at the level of significance at $p<0.05$.

183 There was a positive correlation ($r = .163$) between the knowledge score and attitudes
184 score and with statistically significant at $p=.046^* < 0.05$. Hence, it was showed that there was
185 significant correlation between the knowledge score and attitudes score i.e. higher score on
186 knowledge are associated with higher score on attitudes. The above findings are supported by
187 the study conducted by H. Chacko, JT. Joseph, PR. Aranha, et. al. (2015) which showed a
188 positive correlation ($r= 0.422$) between the knowledge score and the attitudes scores of the
189 participants and with statistically significant at $p=0.01 < 0.05$.

190

191 **References:**

- 192 1. Cheng Cecilia & Li Angel Yee-lam. Internet addiction prevalence and quality of
193 (Real) life: A Meta-analysis of 31 nations across seven world regions. Published on
194 December, 2014. [cited 13 January 2020] Available from:
195 <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/25489876/>
- 196 2. Sharma A, Sharma R. Internet addiction and psychological well-being among college
197 students: A cross-sectional study from Central India. *Journal of Family Medicine and*
198 *Primary Care* [serial online] 2018 [cited 13 January 2020]. 7:147-51. Available from:

199

199 <http://www.jfmpe.com/text.asp?2018/7/1/147/231554>
200 3. American Journal Psychiatric. Issues for DSM-5: Internet Addiction. Published on
201 March, 2008; 306 p. Available from:
202 <https://pdfs.semanticscholar.org/b488/804dd6b5f29ef2b5863fe0a2d486e069c8f6.pdf>
203 4. Panthri Kiran. A descriptive study to assess the level of knowledge regarding
204 consequences of internet addiction among adolescents in SGRR Public School, Patel
205 Nagar, Dehradun. Asian Journal of Nursing Education and Research. Volume 10.
206 Issue 1. Published on 2020. 195-199p. [cited 1st October 2020] Available from:
207 <https://ajner.com/HTMLPaper.aspx?Journal=Asian%20Journal%20of%20Nursing%20Education%20and%20Research;PID=2020-10-1-1>
208 5. Karthika S., Kaur Amanpreet, Saini Annu et al... A descriptive study to assess the
209 knowledge and attitude regarding internet usage and its addiction level among
210 students studying in selected Colleges of Ambala, Haryana. World Journal of
211 Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical Sciences; Volume 6; August, 2017; [cited 13 January
212 2020] Available from:
213 https://www.researchgate.net/publication/319480929_a_descriptive_study_to_assess_the_knowledge_and_attitude_regarding_internet_usage_and_its_addiction_level_among_students_studying_in_selected_colleges_of_ambala_haryana
214 6. R. Aiswarya, C. Anu Gijo, K. Vineeth Gopi et. al. A study to assess the level of
215 knowledge on internet addiction among adolescents in a selected College, Thrissur. J
216 Nursing Today. Volume 6. Issue 2. Published on 2018. Available from:
217 <http://www.jolnt.com/volume6-second-issue/JNT-v6-i2-5-p-45-54.pdf>
218 7. Sonalika Soumya. A cross sectional study to measure the level of internet addiction
219 among adolescents in Manahanath Dev High School, Sameigadia, Bhubaneswar with
220 a view to develop an information leaflet. International Journal of Nursing Education
221 and Research. Volume 7. Issue 1. Published on January-March 2019. [cited 1st July
222 2019] Available from:
223 <https://ijneronline.com/AbstractView.aspx?PID=2019-7-1-2>
224 8. H. Chacko, JT. Joseph, PR. Aranha, et. al. Ill effects of internet addiction-knowledge
225 and attitude among nursing students. Research Journal of Computer and Information
226 Technology Sciences. Volume 3 (4). Published on October 2015. Available from:
227 http://www.isca.in/COM_IT_SCI/Archive/v3/i4/1.ISCA-RJCITS-2015-010.pdf
228
229
230
231
232