Migration of heavy metals in the upper horizons of mining soil : the case of the former Poura mine (Burkina Faso)

ABSTRACT

The presence of contaminants in an environment and their mobility depend greatly on the nature of the environment, climatic and geological conditions, and anthropogenic influences. Soil contamination by these heavy metals poses a significant public health risk due to the various diseases they can cause. The objective of this study was to examine the migration of heavy metals through their concentrations in the upper horizons of a mining soil. Soil profiles were taken between the two piles of mining waste known as tailings 1 and tailings 2, as well as between these tailings and a cyanide tank, all located on the mine site. The soil profiles had four layers or horizons identified by color. The dimensions were 1,5 m deep, 0,90 m wide, and 1,5 m high. The results show that profile 1 was generally the least contaminated with metals. Cadmium (Cd) stands out due to its accumulation in profile 2 (74,07 mg/kg). Arsenic (As) has very low levels in all profiles. It is practically zero in profiles 1 and 2, while a low average value of 0,33 mg/kg is observed in profile 3. Chromium (Cr) concentrations are high in all three profiles, with 87,62 mg/kg in profile 1, 134,09 mg/kg in pit 2, and 133,88 mg/kg in profile 3. Zinc (Zn) levels are very low in all profiles, with a measurable value only in profile 2 (0,09 mg/kg). Manganese (Mn) is the predominant metal. Its concentrations increase sharply from profile 1 (713,17 mg/kg) to profile 2 (1689,11 mg/kg), reaching a maximum in profile 3 (7349,53 mg/kg). Nickel (Ni) follows an increasing gradient, with 21,51 mg/kg in profile 1, 26,27 mg/kg in profile 2, and 185,22 mg/kg in profile 3. Lead (Pb) remains low overall, with no presence in profile 1, a concentration of 2,68 mg/kg in profile 2, and 0,8 mg/kg in profile 3. These heavy metals (Mn, Ni, Cr, Cu, and As). The contamination hierarchy observed from the heat map was established as follows: profile 3, profile 2, then profile 1. This trend highlights a higher metal accumulation in profile 3, while profile 1 appears to be the least impacted.

Keywords: Heavy metals, migration, soil profile, horizon, Poura mine, Burkina Faso

INTRODUCTION

The recent development of the mining industry in Burkina Faso has led to a considerable increase in gold production, making it the country's leading source of export revenue ahead of cotton. The country's annual gold production rose from 5,8 tons in 2008 to 14 tons in 2009 (SCADD, 2012), reaching 62 tons in 2019 and 74 tons in 2020 (Yao, 2021). This sharp increase in production, resulting from the commissioning of 17 industrial mines over the last decade, has helped Burkina Faso maintain its position as Africa's fifth largest gold producer.

41 In addition to these industrial facilities, there are hundreds of artisanal and semi-industrial
42 operators scattered throughout the country. In 2016, there were already 448 operational
43 artisanal gold production sites spread across all regions of the country except the central
44 region (INSD, 2017). Specifically, in certain precious metal deposits, gold or silver are
45 associated with metalloid elements such as arsenic, which is known for its toxicity in the
46 environment. This arsenic can then be exposed during ore excavation and take on a toxic
47 form, posing a danger to the environment and human health (Matschullat, 2000). In other
48 deposits, the natural oxidation of sulfide minerals in rock when exposed to air and water
49 causes acid mine drainage (AMD), which contributes to various types of pollution. All of
50 these forms of pollution result in reduced access to drinking water, loss of soil fertility,
51 reduced vegetation cover, loss of biodiversity, and habitat fragmentation (Schueler et al.,
52 2011).

53 This study therefore aims to examine the vertical migration of metals in the soils of a former
54 mine in Burkina Faso. Our specific objectives include analyzing the distribution of heavy
55 metals in soil profile horizons, as well as comparing concentrations between horizons on the
56 one hand and between layers on the other. We will present the methodology in detail, before
57 presenting and discussing the results obtained, and finally making recommendations.

58

59 **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

60 *Location of the study site*

61 The study site is focused on the former Poura mine. Poura is a rural commune in the Balé
62 province, located southwest of Ouagadougou, 106 miles from the Ouaga-Bobo highway and
63 27,7 miles from Boromo, the provincial capital. It is located between 11°20′ and 11°50′ north
64 latitude and between 2°40′ and 2°55′ west longitude. The commune of Poura covers an area of
65 101,81 km². A single road (Regional Road RR11) connects Poura to National Road (RN) N°
66 1.

Figure 1: Map showing the location of the study area in the municipality of Poura

Soils at the study site

The soils of Poura are mainly tropical ferruginous soils, which are shallow, not very fertile, and susceptible to erosion (Yaméogo *et al*., 2024). These soils are common in the region and can be classified into several groups.

- Tropical ferruginous soils: located near watercourses, these soils are associated with water conditions and are often used for agriculture.

- Ferralitic soils: found in the provinces of Houet, Kénédougou, Comoé, and Mouhoun, these soils contain clays rich in iron and aluminum.

- Brown soils: located in the western, southwestern, north-central, and northwestern parts of the country, these soils are often used for various crops.

- Raw mineral soils or lithosols: formed directly from bedrock, these soils are often poorly developed and less suitable for agriculture.

- Vertisols: rich in clay, these soils are capable of swelling and contracting, and are often used for growing cotton and cereals.

- Sodic soils: found in the south-central and north-central regions, these sodium-rich soils can cause salinity problems.

- Hydromorphic soils: located in the far north of Burkina Faso, these soils are well drained but can become acidic over time.

Soil profile sampling

In order to monitor the different levels or layers of soil through which pollutants migrate, three (03) soil profiles were created between the tailings and between each tailing and the cyanide tank.

Figure 2. Map showing the location of pits or soil profiles.

Soil pit 3 is located halfway between the cyanide tank and tailings 1, in an area where gold panning is actively taking place. Soil pit 1 is also located halfway between tailings 2 and the cyanide tank, in the middle of a cornfield that is being invaded by gold panning activities. Soil pit 3 could not be placed halfway between tailings 1 and tailings 2. This is because the point where the pit should be located is occupied by waste rock from the old mine. It has been repositioned a little closer to tailings 1. It is located in an area of intense gold panning activity.

In the construction of the soil pits, fourlayer levels were identified based on color and coarse elements for each pit considered (RECORD, 2006). Pits 1.5 meters deep, 0.90 meters wide, and 1.5 meters long were dug. These different layers were sampled according to the model developed by Laperche, V. and Eisenlohr, L. (2001). A total of twelve (12) layers were identified, at a rate of four (4) layers per pit.

Statistical data analysis

108   The data were entered using Excel 2016 spreadsheet software. R software version 4.3.1 (R

109   Core Team, 2023) was used for data processing. The distribution of heavy metal values in the

110   different samples was checked for normality and homogeneity using Shapiro-Wilk tests.

111   Student's t-tests were used as appropriate to compare the content per horizon; ANOVA and

112   Kruskal-Wallis tests were used as appropriate to compare the variation in parameter content

113   according to horizon. Tukey's post-hoc test was used to compare the means. The results were

114   interpreted at the 5% significance level.

115

116   **RESULTS**

117   **Heavy metal content in soil profile horizons**

118   *Arsenic levels*

119   The arsenic contents in the horizons of the soil profiles are shown in Figure 3. Except for the

120   first horizon of the third soil profile, which has an arsenic content of 1,3 mg/kg, none of the

121   horizons have an arsenic content. However, this content is below the threshold level for the

122   Earth's crust, which is 1,5 mg/kg.

123

Figure 3. Arsenic content in the horizons (layers) of soil profiles (pits)

Cadmium levels

Figure 4 shows the cadmium content of soil samples in the different horizons of the soil profiles. Apart from the second horizon (layer) of soil profile 2, which recorded a high Cd content (296,3 mg/kg), no other horizon showed any Cd content. This cadmium content of 296,3 mg/kg obtained in layer C2 is well above that of the Earth's crust, which is 0,2 mg/kg.

Figure 4. Cadmium content in soil profile layers

Chromium levels

The results of the analysis of soil samples from the different layers of the soil profiles are shown in Figure 5.

In profile 1, there is a variation in content between the different layers. The highest content is observed in C2 and the lowest content is observed in C4, with respective values of 128.6 mg/kg and 1.6 mg/kg. Of the four horizons in profile 1, only horizon C4 has levels above the Earth's crust threshold of 70 mg/kg.

At profile 2, an upward trend in content is observed. All horizons of this profile have chromium contents that are above those of the Earth's crust.

In pit 3, an increase in chromium content is noted from layer C2 to layer C4, with respective values of 66,9 mg/kg (C2), 110,4 mg/kg (C3), and 276,8 mg/kg (C4). Layer C1 has a concentration of 81,4 mg/kg. Apart from layer C2, the other layers have concentrations above that of the Earth's crust.


Figure 5. Chromium content in soil profile horizons

156 *Copper levels*

157 The results of the analysis of samples from the layers of the copper soil pits are shown in
158 Figure 6.

159 Regardless of the soil profile, copper content increased with depth. However, in profile 1, a
160 notable decrease is observed between C3 and C4. The highest content is recorded at horizon 4
161 (C4) of profile 3 (pit 3) with a content of 121 mg/kg Cu.

162

163

164 **Figure 6.** Copper content in soil profile horizons

165

166

167   *Manganese levels*

168   The various results of the analysis of manganese content in soil samples from different layers

169   of the soil pits are shown in Figure 7.

170   Manganese is present in all four horizons of the three soil profiles. The highest value is found

171   in horizon 3 (C3) of profile 3, with 13827,7 mg/kg, while the lowest content is recorded in

172   layer C4 of profile 1, with 3,8 mg/kg. Apart from layer C4 of profile 1, which has the lowest

173   value (3,8 mg/kg), all other horizons have manganese contents higher than that of the Earth's

174   crust (600 mg/kg).

175

176

177   **Figure 7.** Manganese content in soil profile horizons

178 *Nickel levels*

179 Figure 8 shows the nickel content in soil samples from different layers of the soil profiles.

180 Analysis of the results shows an absence of nickel in layer C3 of profile 1 and layers C3 and

181 C4 of profile 2. The highest Ni content is found in layer C3 of profile 3, with 300,9 mg/kg of

182 Ni. It should also be noted that the different layers of profile 3 have higher Ni contents than

183 the other two soil profiles.

184

185 **Figure 8.** Nickel content in soil profile horizons

186

187

188 *Lead levels*

189 The various results of the analysis of the lead content of soil samples from different layers of
190 the soil profiles are shown in Figure 9.

191 The results show that lead is present in only two layers out of the twelve horizons. These are
192 layer C4 of soil profiles 2 and 3, with 10,7 mg/kg and 3,2 mg/kg of Pb, respectively.
193 However, none of these levels exceed that of the Earth's crust, which is 20 mg/kg of Pb.

194

195

196 **Figure 9.** Lead content in soil profile layers

197

198

199 *Zinc levels*

200 Figure 10 shows the zinc content results for soil samples from different layers of the soil
201 profiles.

202 Zinc is present only in layer C2 of the second soil profile. The other two profiles show no zinc
203 content in any horizon. Furthermore, the recorded zinc content of 0,3 mg/kg remains lower
204 than that of the Earth's crust, which is 71 mg/kg Zn.

205

206

207 **Figure 10.** Zinc contents in soil profiles

208

209

Comparison of heavy metal content in soils in soil profiles

Normalizing the values of As, Cd, Cr, Cu, Mn, Ni, Pb, and Zn concentrations on a scale of 0 to 1 allowed for a comparison of contamination levels between soil profiles 1, 2, and 3 (Figure 11).

Profile 1 was found to be the least contaminated with metals overall. Most elements showed values close to 0 on the normalized scale, particularly As, Cd, Pb, and Zn. Concentrations of Cr, Cu, Mn, and Ni were present but remained relatively low compared to the other profiles.

Profile 2 showed an intermediate level of contamination. Several metals reached high values on the standardized scale, particularly Cd and Pb, which were close to 1. Cr and Zn also showed significant intensities compared to other sources.

Profile 3 stood out with the highest levels for several metals. These heavy metals (Mn, Ni, Cr, Cu, and As) reached values close to 1 on the standardized scale, indicating that it concentrates the relative maxima for these elements. Although some metals such as Cd and Zn are low in this profile, the high intensity of several major elements reflects a higher metal load in the other two pits.

Ultimately, the contamination hierarchy observed from the heat map was established as follows: profile 3, profile 2, then profile 1. This trend highlights a higher metal accumulation in profile 3, while profile 1 appears to be the least affected.

Figure 11. Comparison of contamination intensity between soil profiles 1, 2, and 3

232 Figure 12 shows a comparison of heavy metals between the three soil profiles (pit). Arsenic

233 (As) has very low levels in all profiles. It is practically absent in profiles 1 and 2, while a low

234 average value of 0,33 mg/kg is observed in profile 3.

235 Cadmium (Cd) stands out due to its accumulation in profile 2 (74,07 mg/kg), while it is absent

236 in profiles 1 and 3, suggesting sporadic contamination. As for chromium (Cr), concentrations

237 are high in all three profiles, with 87,62 mg/kg in profile 1134,09 mg/kg in pit 2, and 133,88

238 mg/kg in profile 3. Profiles 2 and 3 show comparable levels that are higher than those in

239 profile 1.

240 Copper (Cu) concentrations increase gradually from 37,48 mg/kg in profile 1 to 61,08 mg/kg

241 in profile 2 and 82,64 mg/kg in profile 3. Zinc (Zn) levels are very low in all profiles, with a

242 measurable value only in profile 2 (0,09 mg/kg), indicating a marginal presence.

243 Manganese (Mn) is the predominant metal. Its concentrations increase sharply from profile 1

244 (713,17 mg/kg) to profile 2 (1689,11 mg/kg), reaching a maximum in profile 3 (7349,53

245 mg/kg). This trend is accompanied by a wide dispersion of values, especially in profile 3.

246 Nickel (Ni) follows an increasing gradient, with 21,51 mg/kg in profile 1, 26,27 mg/kg in

247 profile 2, and 185,22 mg/kg in profile 3. The high dispersion observed in profile 3 reflects

248 significant accumulation. Lead (Pb) remains low overall, with no presence in profile 1, a

249 concentration of 2,68 mg/kg in profile 2, and 0,8 mg/kg in profile 3.

250

251 **Figure 12.** Comparison by heavy metal in each soil pit

DISCUSSION

The study of soil profiles made it possible to examine the vertical migration of the various heavy metals studied. Most of the pollutants are concentrated in the surface layer (0-30 cm) in the immediate vicinity of the sources. Our results on the soil horizons affected by this pollution are consistent with those of Traore *et al*. (2014). However, there is one exception: manganese in profile 3, located between tailings 1 and the cyanide tank. In this profile, manganese contamination increases with depth. The vertical migration of this contaminant indicates a potential risk of groundwater contamination (Bouzahzah*et al*., 2014). This result highlights a dynamic dispersion of pollutants, characterized by areas of intense pollution and selective mobility of certain metals in specific locations. Targeted remediation measures and continuous environmental monitoring are necessary to mitigate the level of contamination from these identified heavy metals.

CONCLUSION

The soil at abandoned former mining sites, if not properly maintained or rehabilitated, becomes a dangerous source of environmental pollution. The chemicals used in mining (cyanide, acids, peroxide, etc.), and especially the various mining waste products, can be washed away by climatic (air and rain) and anthropogenic phenomena, leading to the leaching of various mining pollutants (cyanide and heavy metals). This leaching can lead to the generation of acid mine drainage (AMD) and contaminate soil, water, vegetation, and the ecosystem in general.

Analysis of the results obtained by analyzing the ETM content in the different horizons of the three soil profiles reveals that the highest concentrations are located in the 0 to 30 cm horizons. This finding reveals surface migration of metal pollutants through the topsoil horizons of the former mining site of Poura in Burkina Faso (West Africa).

REFERENCES

1. Bouzahzah, H., Benzaazoua, M., Bussière, B., Plante, B.,(2014). Revue de littérature détaillée sur les tests statiques et les essais cinétiques comme outils de prédiction du drainage minier acide. Environnement, Ingénierie & Développement 66,14-31. https://doi.org/10.4267/dechets-sciences-techniques.340

2. INSD. (2017). Enquête nationale sur le secteur de l'orpaillage (ENSO). Principaux résultats. DSSE/SCEAM 2017-04.

3. Laperche, V ., Eisenlohr, L. (2001) - Echantillonnage d'un site contaminé par l'arsenic - Comparaison de différentes stratégies et représentativité de l'échantillonnage des sols. Rapport CNRSSP/01/11, 33 p.

4. Matschullat, J. (2000). Arsenic in the Geosphere. A Review. The Science of the Total Environment, 249, 297-312. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0048-9697(99)00524-0

5. RECORD, 2006. Stratégie et technique d'échantillonnage des sols pour l'évaluation des pollutions. 321 p, n° 04-0510/1A.

6. SCADD. (2012). Stratégie de croissance accélérée et de développement durable, Burkina Faso 2011 -2015. 108p.

7. Schueler, V., Kuemmerle, T., Schröder, H. (2011). Impacts of surface gold mining on land use systems in Western Ghana. Ambio, 40, 528-539.

8. Traore A., Soro G., Kouadio E. K, Bamba B.S., Oga MS, et Soro N. et Biemi J. (2014). Niveau de contamination en métaux lourds des sédiments dune lagune tropicale : la lagune ghien (Sud-Est de la Cote d'Ivoire. Afrique Sc. Revue Internationale et Technologie, 10(3), 73-88,http://www.afriquescience.info/document.php?id=3720

9. Yameogo A., Kagambega N., Bado I.F., Barry A.A., 2024. Trace Metal Elements (TMEs) in Groundwater Around the Former Industrial Gold Mine of Poura in Burkina Faso –West Africa. International Journal of Environmental Monitoring and Analysis 2024, Vol. 12, No. 5, pp. 111-124

10. Yao, O. (2021). La référence économique en Afrique de l'Ouest. Sika Finance. https://www.sikafinance.com/marches/burkina-faso-la-production-industrielle-d-or-atteint-plus-de-27-tonnes-a-fin-mai-2021_29785, Consulté le 19/07/2022 à 20h04