

REVIEWER'S REPORT

Manuscript No.: JNHST-042

Title: The Constitution of Society: The West versus the Rest

Recommendation:

Accept after minor revision.....

Rating	Excel.	Good	Fair	Poor
Originality			✓	
Techn. Quality		✓		
Clarity			✓	
Significance	✓			

Reviewer's ID: JPR-Abdul Haseeb Mir

Detailed Reviewer's Report

The manuscript titled "The Constitution of Society: The West versus the Rest" presents a highly critical sociological macro-theory that seeks to explain contemporary global geopolitical asymmetries. Utilizing a synthesis of structural Marxism, Max Weber's theories of bureaucratization, and the author's proprietary frameworks—"Mocombeian structurationism" and "phenomenological structuralism"—the text aims to answer a foundational geopolitical question: Why are Western military and covert interventions (specifically state-decapitation and balkanization strikes) highly effective at destabilizing societies in the Global South, Latin America, and Eastern Europe, yet ineffective when turned inward against Western hegemonies? The scope of the work encompasses a sweeping critique of mainstream Western bourgeois sociology, an interrogation of the classical structure/agency debate, and a structural analysis of imperial state-preservation.

The study utilizes a qualitative, theoretical, and meta-sociological research methodology grounded in comparative-historical analysis and conceptual synthesis. The manuscript relies on an extensive multidisciplinary literature matrix stretching from classic sociological foundations (Marx, Weber, Durkheim) to contemporary structurationists (Giddens, Bourdieu, Habermas, Archer), postmodernists (Foucault, Derrida, Lacan), and specialized neuro-energetic or consciousness theories (Chalmers, Hameroff, Penrose, McFadden). The author operates via conceptual triangulation, juxtaposing traditional Western sociological paradigms against Mocombeian phenomenological structuralism. The analytical framework breaks society down into five primary institutional subsystems: mode of production,

REVIEWER'S REPORT

language, ideology, ideological apparatuses, and communicative discourse. These subsystems are examined through a dualistic lens to determine how structural integration is achieved either through rationalized bureaucratization or localized charisma.

The paper's core thesis posits that the global disparity in societal resilience against external subversion is determined by the degree to which a society has transitioned from individual charisma to institutionalized, routinized bureaucracy.

The structural narrative develops through three major arguments:

1. **The Myth of Organic Solidarity:** The author rejects the mainstream bourgeois academic assumption (championed by Durkheim, Parsons, and Habermas) that Western liberal democracies represent an "organic solidarity" reflecting a highly evolved, rationally negotiated social cohesion. Mocombe exposes this as an economic myth designed to legitimize Western hegemony, asserting instead that Western societies remain rigid mechanical solidarities enforced by power elites who control vital material resources.
2. **Ontological Dualism and the Five Subsystems:** The text establishes that human social evolution features two primary ontological pathways for material survival: the individualist "Protestant Ethic and the spirit of capitalism" and the communal "Vodou Ethic and the spirit of communism". Power elites weaponize the five subsystems to interpellate and socialize the populace, converting elite ideology into the "practical consciousness" of individual citizens, thereby forming a perfect totality where social structure (dualism) and internalized agency (duality) become one.
3. **The Iron Cage vs. Charismatic Fragility:** The crux of the paper argues that Western power elites have successfully harnessed the five subsystems through the Weberian "iron cage" of rationalized bureaucracy and routinization. Consequently, Western societies are highly decentralized; eliminating a state leader does not compromise the self-reproducing institutional apparatus. Conversely, due to persistent Western meddling, the "Rest" of the world has remained trapped in the Weberian "charismatic" stage of development. Because their societal ideals, values, and administrative structures remain concentrated within the persona of individual charismatic leaders rather than systemic bureaucracies, Western decapitation strikes successfully cause total societal collapse and balkanization. The author notes that older civilizations—specifically Russia, China, and Iran—stand as exceptions because they have successfully bureaucratized their subsystems into highly resilient structural totalities capable of surviving external decapitation.

REVIEWER'S REPORT

The scholarly value of this paper lies in its bold attempt to provide an overarching, structural Marxist explanation for modern geopolitical vulnerabilities, linking micro-level socialization mechanics directly to macro-level imperial interactions.

Technical Suggestions for Improvement

- **Establish a Formal, Hierarchical Section Layout:** The document currently transitions directly from a dense "Background of the Problem" into a brief "Theory and Method" segment, followed by a combined "Discussion and Conclusion". The manuscript would gain considerable clarity by dividing the narrative into distinct, numbered academic headers: "1. Introduction," "2. Classical Sociology and the Structure/Agency Impasse," "3. Mocombeian Phenomenological Structuralism," "4. The Rationalization of Hegemony: The Iron Cage of the West," and "5. Geopolitical Decapitation and Charismatic Fragility".
- **Integrate a Comparative Theoretical Matrix:** To help the reader navigate the dense review of sociological literature, the author should introduce a comparative table contrasting Mocombe's framework with classical and contemporary models, detailing the analytical focus, conception of agency, and explanatory limits of symbolic interactionism, structural functionalism, conflict theory, traditional structuration, and Mocombeian structuration.
- **Incorporate a Visual Schematic of the Five Subsystems:** The text outlines how power elites achieve complete social integration by filtering ideology through specific societal vectors. A structural diagram or flow chart illustrating the pathway from the Power Elites / Material Resource Framework down through the five subsystems (Mode of Production, Language, Ideology, Ideological Apparatuses, Communicative Discourse) into the Practical Consciousness of Social Actors would greatly enhance the reader's conceptual comprehension.
- **Clarify and Ground the Integration of Consciousness Literature:** The text introduces highly complex, unelaborated terminology on page 4, referencing "embodied recycled/entangled/superimposed subatomic particles, psychions," and the phenomenal properties of the brain to explain alternative social praxis. The bibliography features numerous citations from quantum consciousness and neurology (e.g., Chalmers, Hameroff, Penrose, McFadden, Van Lommel). However, these ideas appear abruptly without sufficient integration into the broader geopolitical narrative. The author needs to construct a dedicated explanatory paragraph bridging quantum consciousness, alternative individual praxis, and how these forces resist or succumb to elite institutionalization.
- **Harmonize Reference Metadata with Internal Citations:** A rigorous audit of the "References Cited" section reveals several foundational disparities that must be corrected. Multiple prominent

REVIEWER'S REPORT

sources cited in the bibliography—such as Anderson (2020), Gauthier (2020), Chen & Zhang (2021), and several entries by Sahlin—are either completely unreferenced or insufficiently integrated within the actual text body. Every listing in the bibliography must correspond directly to an active citation in the analytical prose.

- **Address Grammatical Punctuation and Typographical Anomalies:** The paper features persistent formatting, typographical, and structural anomalies that disrupt its academic delivery. Examples include raw organizational markers like "SUREVIEN" (page 1), unspaced or fractured paragraph dividers like "sion and" (page 5), and dangling headers like "AEROW IN INHST" (page 6). Furthermore, long, dense run-on sentences (such as the single sentence spanning lines 330–350 on page 5) must be broken down into clear, concise, and declarative statements to maximize impact.

Editorial Recommendation

This manuscript is recommended for publication with moderate revision. The author offers an incredibly provocative, highly original integration of macro-sociological theory and radical political economy to decode modern imperial operations. The contrast drawn between the bureaucratized totalities of the West and the fragile charismatic structures of developing states provides an innovative diagnostic framework for international relations and structural sociology. To ensure the piece is fully prepared for publication, the author must systematically break down run-on sentences, eliminate the visual typos and artifacts present from the review copy, cleanly integrate the quantum consciousness terminology within the sociological prose, and ensure absolute harmony between internal citations and the final bibliography.

Would you like me to focus on drafting the proposed visual schematic or table layout to help map out Mocombe's five subsystems?