

REVIEWER'S REPORT

Manuscript No.: JNHST-037

Title: IDENTITY CRISIS IN POSTCOLONIAL LITERATURE: A CRITICAL ANALYSIS OF CULTURAL HYBRIDITY AND COLONIAL INFLUENCE

Recommendation:

Accept after minor revision

Rating	Excel.	Good	Fair	Poor
Originality			✓	
Techn. Quality		✓		
Clarity		✓		
Significance	✓			

Reviewer's ID: JPR- 158

Detailed Reviewer's Report

The manuscript titled "IDENTITY CRISIS IN POSTCOLONIAL LITERATURE: A CRITICAL ANALYSIS OF CULTURAL HYBRIDITY AND COLONIAL INFLUENCE" examines the enduring legacy of European imperialism on the human psyche and cultural structures. The study focuses on the "identity crisis" experienced by subjects and groups emerging from colonial rule. The scope of this qualitative and interpretive research goes beyond political and economic boundaries to explore the cultural impacts of colonization—specifically how the superimposition of foreign languages, values, and institutions fundamentally altered the self-perceptions of the colonized.

The paper investigates the "split consciousness" and sense of fragmentation that occurs when individuals are caught between indigenous traditions and imposed colonial ideologies. By shifting away from static, essentialist views of culture, the study explores how postcolonial literature serves as a critical space for renegotiating and reconstructing fluid identities.

The study balances established postcolonial theories to analyze the psychological and structural dimensions of colonial uprooting. It builds its conceptual framework around three foundational theorists: Frantz Fanon, Edward Said, and Homi K. Bhabha.

The core findings of the manuscript map out several key mechanisms of postcolonial identity formation:

- **Colonial Hierarchy and Internalized Alienation:** Drawing on Edward Said's concept of Orientalism and Frantz Fanon's psychological models, the text illustrates how colonial institutions constructed a rigid binary ranking. By framing the colonizer as "civilized" and the colonized as

REVIEWER'S REPORT

"primitive," imperialism forced the colonized to internalize a deep sense of inferiority, alienation, and self-division.

- The "Split Consciousness" of Duality: This psychological division leaves individuals torn between their native heritage and the culture of the colonizer. The postcolonial subject exists "in-between," navigating multiple conflicting cultural affiliations.
- Cultural Hybridity and the "Third Space": Incorporating Homi K. Bhabha's theory, the paper explains that cultural hybridity disrupts strict East/West binaries. Contact between the colonizer and colonized produces a fluid, dynamic "Third Space". While this space can cause initial confusion and instability, it provides an opportunity to create entirely new meanings and subvert colonial authority.
- Mimicry and Ambivalence: The manuscript explores the concept of mimicry, where the colonized subject adopts the language, behavior, and culture of the colonizer. Because this mimicry is never a perfect copy, it produces a profound ambivalence that simultaneously reinforces and undermines colonial power, revealing the underlying instability of imperial control.

The manuscript demonstrates good analytical depth by presenting the postcolonial identity crisis as a collective, historical phenomenon rather than just an isolated individual struggle. It shows how literature functions as an active social tool, allowing marginalized communities to share their experiences of displacement and actively re-imagine their futures.

The primary contribution of this work is its systematic breakdown of how computational, psychological, and literary elements overlap in postcolonial narratives. By demonstrating that hybridity can lead to transformation and empowerment, the paper provides a balanced perspective on the postcolonial condition. It serves as a helpful conceptual guide for literary scholars, cultural historians, and researchers examining identity politics in a globalized world.

Suggestions for Improvement

- Structure the Text with Distinct Academic Headings: The main body of the paper currently reads as a continuous narrative block. Reorganize the manuscript using formal, standard subheadings—such as "Introduction," "Theoretical Framework," "Methodology," "Textual Analysis of Selected Works," "Discussion," and "Conclusion"—to improve structural clarity.
- Incorporate a Visual Postcolonial Identity Flowchart: Add a structural diagram mapping out the movement from colonial subjugation to hybrid empowerment. The flowchart should visually show how the clash between indigenous traditions and colonial ideologies leads to an identity

REVIEWER'S REPORT

crisis, which then moves through mimicry and ambivalence, and finally resolves into Bhabha's dynamic "Third Space."

- **Introduce a Structured Theoretical Matrix:** Include a comprehensive table summarizing the core theoretical framework. The table should match each key theorist (Fanon, Said, Bhabha) with their core concepts (Alienation, Orientalism, Hybridity/Mimicry) and explain how those concepts apply to the analysis of literary texts.
- **Explicitly Identify and Contextualize Selected Literary Texts:** The abstract and introduction state that the study analyzes "selected literary works" textually, but they do not name the specific authors or novels being used. Clearly state which texts are under review—such as Chinua Achebe's *Things Fall Apart*, Salman Rushdie's *Midnight's Children*, or Ngũgĩ wa Thiong'o's *A Grain of Wheat*—and clarify the criteria used to select them.
- **Incorporate Direct Textual Evidence and Quotations:** To ground the theoretical claims, add a dedicated analysis section featuring direct quotes and close readings from the selected novels. Show exactly how characters embody "split consciousness" or "mimicry" through their dialogue, inner thoughts, and actions.
- **Expand on the Role of Language as a Colonial Tool:** Deepen the discussion on how language acts as an imperial weapon. Analyze the psychological tension characters face when they must express indigenous experiences using the colonizer's language, and discuss how postcolonial writers subvert this by untranslating or re-shaping English.
- **Formulate a Dedicated Limitations Section:** Add a subsection addressing the scope and limitations of the qualitative method used. Discuss the challenges of applying Western-developed postcolonial theories to diverse regional literatures, and address the risk of over-generalizing the postcolonial experience across different geographic regions like Africa, South Asia, and the Caribbean.
- **Conduct a Thorough Typographical Pass:** Review the document to fix formatting fragments and minor spacing errors, such as missing spaces between sentences and citations (e.g., in lines 34 and 49).
- **Standardize the In-Text Citation Format:** Ensure all parenthetical citations follow a uniform style guide (such as APA 7th edition) consistently throughout the text, eliminating minor formatting variations.
- **Expand and Complete the Bibliographic Reference List:** Ensure the final bibliography is comprehensive and fully formatted. Double-check that all cited works (such as Fanon 1963, Said

REVIEWER'S REPORT

1978, and Bhabha 1994) have complete metadata, including publisher locations, journal issue numbers, full page ranges, and active DOIs where applicable.

Recommendation for Publication

I recommend this manuscript for publication with major revision. The paper offers a well-written, theoretically sound exploration of identity crises and cultural hybridity in postcolonial literature. The integration of Fanon, Said, and Bhabha provides a strong conceptual foundation. However, to meet the standards of high-impact international journals in cultural studies and literary theory, the author must explicitly identify the novels being analyzed, incorporate direct textual quotes, organize the text into formal academic sections, and include a structured summary table or flowchart. Addressing these issues will significantly improve the empirical depth and scholarly value of the manuscript.