

1 Association of Personality, Social Support, Treatment Adherence, Self- 2 Care Activities with Quality of Life among Type-1 Diabetes.

3 4 5 **Abstract**

6 Diabetes is a major public health threat to India and the world. Managing type 1 diabetes is a
7 complex and demanding task. Certain personality traits, social support, treatment adherence,
8 and self-care activities facilitate quality of life. The present study aims to assess personality,
9 perceived social support, treatment adherence, self-care practices, and quality of life among
10 type 1 diabetics. A correlational design was used to understand the relationship of
11 personality, perceived social support, treatment adherence, self-care practices, with quality of
12 life. The study was conducted on 59 type 1 diabetics (29 females and 30 males). The results
13 showed that individuals with type 1 diabetes had moderate levels of perceived social support,
14 treatment adherence, and self-care activities, but good quality of life. A significant gender
15 difference was found in agreeableness among type 1 diabetics. Correlation results showed
16 that personality traits like extraversion, conscientiousness, and openness were positively
17 associated with QoL, but neuroticism was negatively associated with QoL. Various
18 dimensions of social support and overall social support, insulin adherence, diet adherence,
19 exercise adherence, food-care adherence, and diabetes self-care activities were positively
20 associated with QoL among type 1 diabetics.

21 Keywords:

22 **Introduction**

23 Diabetes mellitus is a group of metabolic disorders characterized by chronic hyperglycemia
24 resulting from defects in insulin secretion, insulin action, or both. Although it has many
25 subclassifications, including type 1, type 2, maturity-onset diabetes of the young (MODY),
26 gestational diabetes, neonatal diabetes, and steroids-induced diabetes, the two main
27 classifications are type 1 diabetes mellitus (T1DM), an autoimmune disease marked by the
28 destruction of insulin-producing beta cells, and type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM),
29 characterized by insulin resistance and an eventual decline in insulin production (Sapra &
30 Bhandari, 2023).

31 Type 1 diabetes, formerly known as juvenile diabetes or insulin-dependent diabetes, is a
32 chronic disease in which the pancreas produces little or no insulin. For people living with

33 diabetes, access to affordable treatment, including insulin, is critical to their survival (WHO,
34 2023).

35 The incidence and prevalence of T1D have steadily increased, accounting for approximately
36 5 to 10 percent of diabetics (Lucier & Weinstock, 2023). In 2021, the number of people with
37 T1DM worldwide was estimated to be approximately 8.4 million, with 500,000 new cases in
38 the same year. By 2040, the number of people with T1DM is projected to increase to 13.5-
39 17.4 million (Ogrotis et al., 2023). India accounts for most children with T1DM in South-East
40 Asia (Das, 2015). As per the Indian Council of Medical Research – India Diabetes (ICMR
41 INDIAB) study published in 2023, the prevalence of diabetes is 10.1 crores. According to a
42 2022 study by The Times of India, India has around 95,600 cases of type 1 diabetes in
43 children under 14 years old.

44 Personality is “the enduring configuration of characteristics and behavior that comprises an
45 individual’s unique adjustment to life, including major traits, interests, drives, values, self-
46 concept, abilities, and emotional patterns. Personality is generally viewed as a complex,
47 dynamic integration or totality shaped by many forces, including hereditary and constitutional
48 tendencies; physical maturation; early training; identification with significant individuals and
49 groups; culturally conditioned values and roles; and critical experiences and relationships”
50 (American Psychological Association, 2014). The five-factor model of personality is a
51 hierarchical organization of personality traits in terms of five basic dimensions: extraversion,
52 agreeableness, conscientiousness, neuroticism, and openness to experience (McCrae & John,
53 1992).

54 Social support refers to the perception and reality of having a network of people who provide
55 assistance and care, encompassing emotional, informational, and tangible aid. This network,
56 which can include family, friends, and community members, serves as a buffer against stress,
57 enhances well-being, and contributes to both physical and psychological health by fostering a
58 sense of belonging and security (McLean et al., 2022).

59 According to the 2003 report of the World Health Organization (WHO), adherence to
60 treatment for T1DM consists of self-monitoring of glucose, insulin, diet, physical activity,
61 and other self-care measures (Sabaté, 2003). Diabetes self-management practices include
62 monitoring blood glucose, medication, diet, physical activity, and tending to foot care to
63 normalize blood glucose levels (Ahola et al., 2013; Tewahido & Berhane, 2017). Research
64 shows adherence to these self-care activities is associated with better glycemic controls in
65 diabetics (Bukhsh et al., 2018).

66 WHO defines Quality of Life as an individual's perception of their position in life in the
67 context of the culture and value systems in which they live and concerning their goals,
68 expectations, standards, and concerns (2012). Health-related quality of life (HRQOL) is a
69 person's or group's perception of their mental and physical health over time (Shockey, 2017).

70 **Rationale**

71 Diabetes Mellitus is known as the “Mother of Diseases” because it is the mother of various
72 other diseases such as hypertension, heart disease, stroke, and blindness (Fitriyah et al.,
73 2021). Type 1 diabetes requires extensive self-management including frequent blood glucose
74 monitoring, precise insulin administration, and attention to diet and exercise. However, rates
75 of non-adherence to treatment remain high, which can negatively impact glycemic control
76 and quality of life (Aljawarneh et al., 2023). In populations of people with diabetes, poorer
77 adherence has been linked to specific personality traits and a lack of social support
78 (Chantzaras & Yfantopoulos, 2022). However, few studies have examined how personality
79 and social support influence treatment adherence, self-care practices, and related quality of
80 life in type 1 diabetic populations.

81 More research on Indian population is required in this area because India is the 'diabetes
82 capital of the world', according to a study by the Indian Council of Medical Research that
83 shows the country now has 101 million diabetics, with a further 136 million pre-diabetic
84 individuals in need of prevention (ICMR INDIAB, 2023). Very few Indian studies are
85 available involving the proposed variables. Therefore, a study that includes the Indian
86 Diabetic population is much needed in the current scenario. The study may reveal certain
87 personality traits and social support systems that encourage treatment adherence, self-care
88 practices, and quality of life among Type 1 diabetics. By knowing the significant impact of
89 associated factors such as personality, social support, treatment adherence, and self-care
90 activities, which impact the quality of life of type 1 diabetics. Against this background, the
91 following objectives have been formulated:

92 **Objectives**

- 93 ● To assess the personality, perceived social support, treatment adherence, self-care
94 practices, and quality of life among participants with type 1 diabetes.
- 95 ● To assess the gender differences in Personality, perceived social support, treatment
96 adherence, self-care practices, and quality of life among type 1 diabetics.

- 97 • To investigate the relationship of personality with treatment adherence, self-care
98 practices, and quality of life, and the relationship of perceived social support with
99 treatment adherence, self-care practices, and quality of life among type 1 diabetics.

100 **Hypotheses**

101 **H1.** There would be significant gender differences in personality, perceived social support,
102 treatment adherence, self-care practices, and quality of life among type 1 diabetics.

103 **H2.** There would be a positive correlation between Personality, Perceived Social Support,
104 Treatment Adherence, and Self-Care Activities with Quality of Life among Type 1 Diabetics.

105 **Methods**

106 **Research Design**

107 The present study is cross-sectional, and a correlational design was chosen to examine
108 associations between the variables. The predictor variables of this study were personality
109 factors, perceived social support and treatment adherence, and self-care practices, and the
110 criterion variable was quality of life. A survey method using an online questionnaire was used
111 to collect data efficiently within a reasonable timeframe.

112 **Study Sample**

113 The target population for this study was people aged 13 years and above who had confirmed
114 medical diagnoses of type 1 diabetes. The purposive sampling method was used to gather
115 data from 59 patients, 30 male and 29 female. eligibility criteria to participate in this study
116 included:

- 117 • Diagnosis of type 1 diabetes
- 118 • Age 13+ years
- 119 • Ability to complete surveys

120 **Measures of the Study**

121 **Medical History Proforma**

122 The researcher developed a proforma specifically for this study to find out the patient's
123 medical history. It provided information about the participants' age, sex, age of diagnosis,
124 method of insulin administration, HBA1C, complications associated with the disease, and
125 other comorbid conditions in the current or recent past, if any.

126 **WHOQOL-BREF**

127 The World Health Organization Quality of Life-BREF (WHOQOL-BREF) is a widely used
128 self-report questionnaire designed to assess an individual's perception of their quality of life.

129 It evaluates four key domains: physical health, psychological health, social relationships, and
130 environment, along with two general items on overall quality of life and general health. This
131 instrument aims to provide a comprehensive understanding of an individual's subjective well-
132 being, considering the interplay of various life aspects, and is structured to be applicable
133 across diverse cultural settings. In the initial validation paper, the WHOQOL-BREF showed
134 excellent internal consistency (domain α 's from 0.66 to 0.84) and demonstrated good
135 discriminant and content validity among multiple illness groups compared to the normal
136 population.

137 **Multidimensional Scale of Perceived Social Support (MSPSS)**

138 The Multidimensional Scale of Perceived Social Support (MSPSS) is a 12-item self-report
139 questionnaire designed to measure an individual's perception of social support from three
140 specific sources: family, friends, and significant others. It utilizes a Likert-type scale,
141 allowing respondents to indicate their level of agreement with statements related to perceived
142 support. The MSPSS is widely used in research and clinical settings to assess the perceived
143 adequacy of social support, which is an essential factor in psychological and physical well-
144 being. The MSPSS has demonstrated good internal reliability overall ($\alpha = 0.88$), and for
145 Significant Other, Family, and Friends subscales, the values were .91, .87, and .85,
146 respectively (Zimet et al., 1988).

147 **Big Five-factor scale**

148 The Big Five factor scale, or the OCEAN model, is a widely used framework in psychology
149 to describe and measure human personality. It assesses individuals across five broad
150 dimensions: Openness to experience (curiosity, imagination), Conscientiousness
151 (organization, responsibility), Extraversion (sociability, energy), Agreeableness (cooperation,
152 compassion), and Neuroticism (emotional stability, anxiety). These dimensions represent
153 fundamental personality traits that influence behavior, thoughts, and feelings, and the scale
154 provides a structured way to quantify and understand individual differences in these
155 areas. The BFI-44 scales have demonstrated good internal consistency with alpha coefficients
156 ranging from 0.79 to 0.88 in the original development paper (John et al., 1991) with a mean
157 reliability of 0.83.

158 **Medication Adherence Rating Scale**

159 The Medication Adherence Rating Scale (MARS) is a 10-item self-report scale developed by
160 K. Thompson, J. Kulkarni, and A. A. Sergejew in 2000 to assess medication adherence
161 behavior. It is one of the most widely used adherence rating scales. The reliability analysis of
162 the MARS using Cronbach's α is 0.75. The test-retest reliability assessed after a 2-week

163 interval using parallel-forms Chi-square to test the goodness of fit was 0.72 in the original
164 paper.

165 **Summary of Diabetes Self-Care Activities**

166 The Summary of Diabetes Self-Care Activities (SDSCA) was developed by Deborah J.
167 Toobert, Sarah E. Hampson, and Russell E. Glasgow in 2000 as a brief self-report instrument
168 to assess levels of diabetes self-management across different regimen areas. It includes 11
169 core items measuring dietary behaviors, exercise, blood glucose testing, foot care, and
170 smoker status on a 0 to 7 scale reflecting days per week. Initial validation analyses found
171 adequate internal consistency ($\alpha \geq 0.70$) and acceptable 2-week test-retest reliabilities ranging
172 from 0.41-0.58 for SDSCA subscales.

173 **Statistical Analysis**

174 In the present study, mean, standard deviation, and t-test have been used to examine gender
175 differences in personality, perceived social support, treatment adherence, self-care practices,
176 and quality of life among type 1 diabetics. The Pearson correlation method was used to
177 examine associations between personality traits, treatment adherence behaviors, self-care
178 activities, and perceived social support with quality of life among individuals diagnosed with
179 type 1 diabetes.

180 **Results**

181 The purpose of this study was to assess the relationship between personality factors and
182 perceived social support, treatment adherence, self-care practices, and quality of life. The
183 data were analyzed using SPSS. Descriptive statistics, Pearson Correlation, and t-test were
184 used to test the hypotheses.

185 **Table 1**

186 *Demographic and Background Characteristics of the Sample*

	Number of Participants (N)	Percentage (N%)
Gender		
Male	30	50.8%
Female	29	49.2%
Age Groups		
Adolescents (14-17)	4	6.8
Young adults (18-25)	26	44.1

Adults (26-64)	27	45.8
Older adults (65 and above)	2	3.4

Current Residence

Urban	53	89.8
Rural	6	10.2

Years Living with T1D

1-10	26	44.10
11-20	20	33.90
21-30	6	10.20
31-40	1	1.70
41-50	4	6.70
51-60	2	3.40

Insulin

MDI (Multiple Daily Injections)	47	79.7
Pump	11	18.6
Other	1	1.7

HBA1C

Excellent	18	30.5%
Good	33	55.9%
Poor	7	11.9%

Education

Highschool	10	16.90
Intermediate	1	1.70
Graduate	31	52.50
Post-graduate	15	25.40
Others	2	3.40

Country

Indian	46	78
International	13	22

Any Comorbidities

Yes	16	27.1%
-----	----	-------

No	43	72.9%
Any Complications		
Yes	16	27.1%
No	43	72.9%

187 Table 1 presents the demographic and background characteristics of a sample of 59
188 participants. The sample is nearly evenly split between male (50.8%) and female (49.2%)
189 participants. The participants are categorized into four age groups: adolescents (14-17), young
190 adults (18-25), adults (26-64), and older adults (65 and above). The majority of the
191 participants fall into the young adult (44.1%) and adult (45.8%) categories. Most of the
192 participants reside in urban areas (89.8%), with a smaller percentage living in rural areas
193 (10.2%). This category shows the duration participants have lived with Type 1 Diabetes
194 (T1D). The data is distributed across different ranges, with the largest groups having lived
195 with T1D for 1-10 years (44.10%) and 11-20 years (33.90%). The table indicates the type of
196 insulin administration used by the participants. The majority use Multiple Daily Injections
197 (MDI) (79.7%), while a smaller percentage use a pump (18.6%). This refers to the
198 participants' HbA1c levels, a measure of long-term blood sugar control. The levels are
199 categorized as excellent (30.5%), good (55.9%), and poor (11.9%). The education levels of
200 the participants vary, with the largest groups having a graduate (52.50%) or post-graduate
201 (25.40%) education. The participants are classified as Indian (78%) or International
202 (22%). The table shows the percentage of participants with any comorbidities (27.1%) and
203 those without (72.9%). Similar to comorbidities, this shows the percentage of participants
204 with any complications (27.1%) and those without (72.9%).

205 **Table 2**

206
207 *Mean, Standard Deviation, and Independent Samples t-test of Females and Males in*
208 *Personality factors, Perceived Social Support, Insulin Adherence, Self-Care Practices, and*
209 *Quality of Life among people with Type 1 Diabetes (N= 59)*

Variable	Female		Male		t	p-value
	M	SD	M	SD		
Personality						
Extraversion	3.00	0.91	3.23	0.53	-1.179	0.243
Agreeableness	4.11	0.63	3.67	0.65	2.573*	0.013

Conscientiousness	3.62	0.62	3.51	0.73	0.615	0.541
Neuroticism	3.13	0.86	2.73	0.79	1.858	0.068
Openness	3.70	0.61	3.67	0.55	0.197	0.845
Social support	4.94	1.52	4.50	1.54	1.095	0.278
Insulin adherence	8.13	1.74	8.36	1.54	-0.534	0.596
Self-care activities	3.88	1.40	4.32	1.26	-1.250	0.217
Quality of life	62.55	16.74	62.16	13.33	0.042	0.966

211 *Significant at the 0.05 level

212 Table 2 shows that females had significantly higher scores on agreeableness than males (t =
 213 2.573, p 0.01). non-significant gender difference was found in all the variables and their
 214 subscales except agreeableness. This may be because both genders face similar kinds of life
 215 challenges and their personality are not determined by their gender.

216 **Table 3** *Correlational Analysis of Personality, Perceived Social Support, Treatment*
 217 *Adherence, Self-Care Activities with Quality of Life among Type 1 Diabetics*

Variables	Physical QoL	Psychological QoL	Social QoL	Environmental QoL	QoL and General Health	QoL (Overall)
Extraversion	.259*	.317*	.141	.186	.145	.261*
Agreeableness	.203	.237	.148	.016	.093	.152
Conscientiousness	.529**	.557**	.300*	.305*	.352*	.491**
Neuroticism	-.327*	-.322*	-.255	-.172	-.189	-.300*
Openness	.155	.285*	.065	.225	.208	.237
Family support	.384**	.306*	.356**	.300*	.400**	.408**
Friends support	.297*	.363**	.410**	.229	.188	.351**
Significant other support	.274*	.420**	.365**	.261*	.239	.370**
Social support (Overall)	.375**	.431**	.446**	.312*	.325*	.445**

Insulin adherence	.308*	.239	.320*	.254	.302*	.336**
Diet Adherence	.378**	.393**	.334**	.280*	.410**	.414**
Exercise Adherence	.288*	.338**	.175	.189	.345**	.304*
BG Testing Adherence	.189	.190	.065	.196	.140	.205
Foot-care Adherence	.113	.370**	.150	.076	.115	.184
Diabetes self-care activities	.376**	.527**	.275*	.288*	.390**	.435**

218

219 *Note.* ** significant at the 0.01 level *significant at the 0.05 level

220

221 Table 3 presents the correlational results of personality, perceived social support, treatment
222 adherence, and self-care activities with quality of life among Type 1 Diabetics. Extraversion
223 was significantly and positively associated with physical QoL ($r = .259$, $p < 0.05$),
224 psychological QoL ($r = .317$, $p < 0.05$), and overall QoL ($r = .261$, $p < 0.05$). Conscientiousness was
225 significantly and positively associated with physical QoL ($r = .529$, $p < 0.01$), psychological
226 QoL ($r = .557$, $p < 0.01$), social QoL ($r = .300$, $p < 0.05$), environmental QoL ($r = .305$, $p < 0.05$),
227 QoL and general health ($r = .352$, $p < 0.05$), and Overall QoL ($r = .491$, $p < 0.01$). Neuroticism
228 was significantly and negatively associated with physical QoL ($r = -.327$, $p < 0.05$),
229 psychological QoL ($r = -.322$, $p < 0.05$), overall QoL ($r = -.300$, $p < 0.05$). Openness was
230 significantly and positively associated with psychological QoL ($r = .285$, $p < 0.05$). Family
231 support was significantly and positively associated with physical QoL ($r = .384$, $p < 0.01$),
232 psychological QoL ($r = .306$, $p < 0.05$), social QoL ($r = .356$, $p < 0.01$), environmental QoL ($r =$
233 $.300$, $p < 0.05$), QoL and general health ($r = .400$, $p < 0.01$), and Overall QoL ($r = .408$, $p < 0.01$).
234 Friend's support was significantly and positively associated with physical QoL ($r = .297$, p
235 < 0.05), psychological QoL ($r = .363$, $p < 0.01$), social QoL ($r = .410$, $p < 0.01$), and Overall QoL (r
236 $= .351$, $p < 0.01$). Significant other's support was significantly and positively associated with
237 physical QoL ($r = .274$, $p < 0.05$), psychological QoL ($r = .420$, $p < 0.01$), social QoL ($r = .356$, p
238 < 0.01), environmental QoL ($r = .261$, $p < 0.05$), QoL and Overall QoL ($r = .370$, $p < 0.01$). Social
239 support (overall) was significantly and positively associated with physical QoL ($r = .375$, p
240 < 0.01), psychological QoL ($r = .403$, $p < 0.01$), social QoL ($r = .446$, $p < 0.01$), environmental

241 QoL ($r = .312, p 0.05$), general health ($r = .325, p 0.05$), and Overall QoL ($r = .445, p 0.01$).
242 Insulin adherence was significantly and positively associated with physical QoL ($r = .308, p$
243 0.05), social QoL ($r = .320, p 0.05$), QoL and general health ($r = .302, p 0.05$), and Overall
244 QoL ($r = .336, p 0.01$). Diet adherence was significantly and positively associated with
245 physical QoL ($r = .378, p 0.01$), psychological QoL ($r = .393, p 0.01$), social QoL ($r = .334, p$
246 0.01), environmental QoL ($r = .280, p 0.05$), QoL and general health ($r = .410, p 0.01$), and
247 Overall QoL ($r = .414, p 0.01$). Exercise adherence was significantly and positively
248 associated with physical QoL ($r = .288, p 0.05$), psychological QoL ($r = .338, p 0.01$), QoL
249 and general health ($r = .4345, p 0.01$), and Overall QoL ($r = .304, p 0.05$). Foot-care
250 adherence was significantly positively associated with psychological QoL ($r = .370, p 0.05$).
251 Diabetes self-care activities were significantly and positively associated with physical QoL (r
252 $= .376, p 0.01$), psychological QoL ($r = .527, p 0.01$), social QoL ($r = .275, p 0.05$),
253 environmental QoL ($r = .288, p 0.05$), QoL and general health ($r = .390, p 0.01$), and Overall
254 QoL ($r = .435, p 0.01$).

255 **Discussion**

256 The study's primary objective was to examine the difference between gender and the
257 association among the variables. Based on the gender difference t-test showed that
258 agreeableness personality was found to be significant, and reported females have higher
259 levels of agreeableness personality than their male counterparts. This may be because women
260 are often socialized to prioritize interpersonal harmony, caregiving, and cooperative behavior,
261 which aligns with traits of agreeableness. Some theories suggest that women's roles in child-
262 rearing may have favored the development of traits like empathy and cooperation, which are
263 key components of agreeableness, to ensure offspring's well-being and maintain social
264 harmony within groups. It's important to recognize that these are general trends, and
265 individual personalities vary greatly, transcending gender stereotypes (Mukherjee et al.,
266 2022).

267 Extraversion was significantly and positively associated with physical, psychological, and
268 overall quality of life (QoL) among type 1 diabetic individuals because extraverted people
269 tend to engage more actively in social interactions, express emotions openly, and maintain
270 higher levels of energy and enthusiasm, which can buffer the psychological and physical
271 burdens of chronic illness. Their sociability often leads to stronger support networks, better
272 stress management, and greater adherence to health-related behaviors such as regular

273 exercise, proper diet, and routine medical check-ups. These adaptive behaviors and emotional
274 resources contribute to a more positive outlook on life, improved mental health, and better
275 coping with the demands of diabetes management, thereby enhancing their overall quality of
276 life. A prior study reported that the extraversion trait was significantly associated with quality
277 of life and positive outcomes like social engagement in society (Liang et al., 2021).

278 Conscientiousness was significantly and positively associated with all domains of quality of
279 life (QoL), including physical, psychological, social, environmental, general health, and
280 overall QoL, because individuals high in conscientiousness are typically organized,
281 responsible, goal-oriented, and disciplined, which are crucial traits for managing a chronic
282 condition like diabetes. These individuals are more likely to follow medical advice, adhere to
283 treatment plans, maintain healthy routines (such as diet and exercise), and avoid harmful
284 behaviours, leading to better physical health outcomes. Their reliability and structured
285 approach to life also contribute to psychological stability, stronger social relationships, and a
286 proactive engagement with their environment. As a result, they experience better emotional
287 well-being, social support, environmental control, and overall satisfaction with life and
288 health. Previous studies aligned with the findings that conscientiousness was found to be
289 positively associated with all the dimensions of QoL, i.e., physical, psychological, social,
290 environmental, general health, and overall QoL among young adults (Van Straten et al.,
291 2006; Chow, 2021).

292 Neuroticism was significantly and negatively associated with physical, psychological, and
293 overall quality of life (QoL) among diabetic individuals because individuals high in
294 neuroticism are more prone to experience negative emotions such as anxiety, depression,
295 irritability, and self-doubt, which can exacerbate the psychological strain of managing a
296 chronic illness like diabetes. This heightened emotional reactivity often leads to poor stress
297 management, unhealthy coping mechanisms, and lower motivation to adhere to treatment
298 regimens or maintain healthy lifestyle behaviors. Additionally, constant worry and emotional
299 instability can amplify the perception of physical symptoms, reduce pain tolerance, and
300 impair social functioning, ultimately diminishing both physical and psychological well-being
301 and leading to a lower overall quality of life. The prior study revealed that neuroticism was
302 positively associated with depression and QoL among persons with diabetic conditions (Sidi,
303 2021).

304 Openness was significantly and positively associated with psychological quality of life (QoL)
305 among diabetic individuals because people high in openness tend to be more curious,
306 adaptable, and willing to explore new experiences and perspectives, including those related to
307 managing their health. Their openness to new ideas and approaches may lead them to seek
308 out and adopt effective coping strategies, psychological support, and lifestyle modifications
309 that enhance mental well-being. Additionally, their reflective and imaginative nature allows
310 them to find meaning and personal growth even in the face of chronic illness, contributing to
311 a more resilient and positive psychological state. A previous study reported that openness and
312 significant other factors were associated with good quality of life among diabetics
313 (Tamornpark et al., 2022).

314 The present study found the association of social support and its dimensions with various
315 domains of QoL and overall QoL. Findings revealed that family support – dimension of QoL
316 was significantly and positively associated with physical, psychological, social, and
317 environmental quality of life (QoL), as well as general health and overall QoL among
318 diabetic individuals because the presence of a supportive family fosters a stable and nurturing
319 environment that enhances both emotional and practical coping resources. Family members
320 often provide encouragement, assist with daily disease management tasks such as medication
321 adherence, diet control, and physical activity, and offer emotional reassurance during
322 stressful or depressive moments. This consistent support reduces feelings of isolation and
323 distress, strengthens social connectedness, and reinforces healthy behaviors, leading to
324 improved physical health, emotional well-being, and a greater sense of security and
325 satisfaction across various life domains. As a result, diabetic individuals with strong family
326 support are more likely to experience a higher overall quality of life and better general health
327 outcomes. A previous study demonstrated that support from family leads to good QoL among
328 diabetics because they can help to overcome clinical symptoms of diabetes and facilitate
329 good QoL (Young et al., 2020; Yeole et al., 2020).

330 Friends' support was significantly and positively associated with physical, psychological,
331 social, and overall quality of life (QoL) among individuals with diabetes. These findings may
332 be because supportive friendships provide emotional comfort, practical assistance, and a
333 sense of belonging, all of which are vital in managing a chronic condition like diabetes.
334 Friends can encourage healthy behaviors such as proper diet, exercise, and medication
335 adherence, which directly impact physical health. Emotionally, their presence helps reduce

336 stress, anxiety, and feelings of isolation, thereby enhancing psychological well-being.
337 Socially, strong friendships contribute to a richer, more fulfilling life, promoting self-worth
338 and a sense of connectedness. Altogether, these supportive dynamics foster resilience and
339 motivation, which holistically improve the individual's quality of life across all domains. A
340 previously investigated study reported that support from friends can help to overcome
341 academic and interpersonal challenges that can foster better QoL among diabetics
342 (Trikkalinou et al., 2017; Young et al., 2020).

343 Significant others' support was significantly and positively associated with physical,
344 psychological, social, environmental, and overall quality of life (QoL) among diabetic
345 individuals. These findings may be because emotional and practical support from a close
346 partner plays a vital role in managing the complex demands of a chronic condition like
347 diabetes. This support can enhance treatment adherence, reduce stress, and promote healthier
348 lifestyle choices such as balanced nutrition, regular physical activity, and routine monitoring
349 of blood sugar levels. Moreover, the presence of a caring partner provides emotional stability,
350 motivation, and a sense of security, which improves psychological well-being. It also
351 enriches social interactions and strengthens a person's sense of belonging and connectedness.
352 Additionally, with supportive involvement, individuals often experience a more positive
353 perception of their environment, including healthcare access, home conditions, and daily
354 living resources. Collectively, these factors contribute to better management of diabetes and
355 an overall improvement in quality of life across multiple domains. It has been reported
356 previously that support for others, like a close partner or unknown persons, helped overcome
357 diabetic related challenges and facilitated good QoL among people suffering from diabetes
358 (Ghimire et al., 2024; Molla et al., 2022).

359 Social support (overall) was significantly and positively associated with physical,
360 psychological, social, and environmental quality of life (QoL), as well as general health and
361 overall QoL among diabetic individuals, these findings may be because when individuals
362 receive consistent and reliable support from family members, friends, peers, or healthcare
363 providers, they are more likely to manage these responsibilities effectively. **Physical QoL** is
364 improved as social support can motivate individuals to maintain healthier routines, attend
365 medical appointments, and adhere to treatment plans. **Psychologically**, emotional
366 encouragement helps reduce stress, anxiety, and depressive symptoms, which are commonly
367 associated with diabetes, thereby promoting a more stable and positive mental state. In terms

368 of **social QoL**, having strong support systems fosters meaningful interactions, reduces
369 feelings of loneliness or isolation, and enhances a person's sense of belonging and
370 acceptance. Supportive relationships also encourage individuals to remain socially active,
371 which is crucial for emotional resilience. **Environmental QoL** is influenced by the practical
372 assistance received, such as help in navigating healthcare systems, accessing resources, and
373 creating a supportive living or work environment that accommodates their health needs.
374 Additionally, **general health** benefits from the protective effects of social support, which
375 have been shown to boost immune function, reduce the impact of stress on the body, and
376 promote faster recovery from illness. Altogether, when individuals feel valued, understood,
377 and supported, they are more likely to adopt positive health behaviours and experience a
378 higher overall quality of life. Therefore, social support acts as a powerful psychosocial
379 resource that significantly contributes to the holistic well-being of people living with
380 diabetes. Prior studies reported that social support was found to be positively associated with
381 QoL among diabetics (Kuriakose et al., 2025; Trikkalinou et al., 2017; Marte et al., 2019;
382 Alzahrani et al., 2023).

383 Insulin adherence was significantly and positively associated with physical QoL, social QoL,
384 general health, and overall QoL among diabetic individuals. These findings may be that
385 consistent insulin use plays a critical role in maintaining stable blood glucose levels,
386 preventing complications, and improving day-to-day physical functioning. When individuals
387 adhere to their insulin regimen, they are more likely to experience fewer symptoms such as
388 fatigue, dizziness, or frequent infections, which directly enhances their physical well-being.
389 Improved physical health also enables greater participation in social activities, work, and
390 family life, thereby contributing to better social quality of life. Moreover, adherence fosters a
391 sense of control, self-efficacy, and confidence in managing the illness, leading to better
392 general health perceptions and a higher overall quality of life. Majeed et al. (2021) have
393 explored previously that treatment of insulin adherence was found to be positively associated
394 with good quality of life among diabetics. Treatment adherence was significantly associated
395 with QoL among them (Marinho et al., 2018).

396 Diet adherence was significantly and positively associated with physical, psychological,
397 social, environmental, general health, and overall quality of life (QoL) among diabetic
398 individuals. These findings may be attributed to the fact that maintaining a healthy and
399 consistent diet plays a crucial role in managing blood glucose levels, reducing complications,

400 and improving overall health outcomes. Physically, a proper diet enhances energy levels,
401 reduces symptoms, and prevents further deterioration, thereby improving physical QoL.
402 Psychologically, it fosters a sense of control and self-efficacy, reducing anxiety and
403 depression related to disease management. Socially, individuals who manage their condition
404 well through diet are more likely to engage confidently in social activities without fear of
405 symptoms or restrictions. Environmentally, dietary adherence often reflects better access to
406 health education, resources, and supportive surroundings that encourage healthy behaviours.
407 Altogether, these factors contribute to improved general health and a more positive
408 perception of life, significantly enhancing overall QoL in people living with diabetes. Prior
409 findings suggested that a healthy and balanced diet leads to good physical, psychological, and
410 overall QoL among type 2 diabetics (Hasan et al., 2024). It has been reported that diet
411 adherence was positively associated with good physical, psychological, and social QoL
412 among people with diabetes (Al-Salmi et al., 2021).

413 Exercise adherence was significantly and positively associated with physical QoL,
414 psychological QoL, general health, and overall QoL among diabetic individuals. These
415 findings may be that regular physical activity plays a crucial role in managing blood glucose
416 levels, improving cardiovascular health, enhancing muscular strength, and reducing the risk
417 of diabetes-related complications. Beyond physical benefits, consistent exercise also
418 contributes to psychological well-being by reducing stress, anxiety, and depressive symptoms
419 while boosting self-esteem and mood through the release of endorphins. Moreover,
420 individuals who adhere to exercise routines often feel a greater sense of control over their
421 condition, leading to increased self-efficacy and motivation. This holistic improvement in
422 both physical functioning and mental health directly enhances their perception of general
423 health and quality of life. A previous study reported that exercise adherence helps to
424 overcome diabetic related issues and achieve excellent QoL (Hasan et al., 2024). Exercise
425 training leads to better QoL among people with diabetes (Myers et al., 2013; Çolak et al.,
426 2015).

427 Foot-care adherence was significantly and positively associated with psychological quality of
428 life (QoL) among diabetic individuals. These results may be because consistent foot-care
429 practices reduce the risk of painful complications such as ulcers, infections, and amputations,
430 which are common sources of anxiety and emotional distress in diabetes. By regularly
431 engaging in preventive care, individuals gain a greater sense of control over their health,

432 leading to reduced fear of disease progression and improved self-efficacy. This proactive
433 management fosters psychological reassurance, lowers health-related stress, and enhances
434 confidence in handling daily challenges, thereby contributing to better emotional well-being
435 and overall psychological QoL. It has been previously explored that food care and a healthy
436 diet can lead to good QoL among Indian participants with diabetes (Bashir et al., 2019).

437 Diabetes self-care activities were significantly and positively associated with physical,
438 psychological, social, and environmental quality of life (QoL), general health, and overall
439 QoL among diabetic individuals. These findings may be that consistent self-care practices,
440 such as monitoring blood glucose, maintaining a healthy diet, engaging in physical activity,
441 taking medications as prescribed, and managing stress, directly contribute to better physical
442 health and metabolic control. These practices reduce complications and improve energy
443 levels, which enhance physical functioning. Furthermore, effective self-care fosters a sense of
444 autonomy, self-efficacy, and emotional stability, contributing to better psychological well-
445 being. Regular engagement in self-care also often involves social interactions, such as
446 seeking support or participating in group activities, which enrich social QoL. Additionally,
447 managing the disease effectively enables individuals to adapt more confidently to their
448 surroundings and access resources, positively influencing environmental QoL. Altogether,
449 these improvements across domains result in enhanced overall quality of life and general
450 health perceptions among people with diabetes. It has been previously studied that self-care
451 activity was positively associated with QoL, and it was facilitated by social support, i.e.,
452 family, friends, and significant others, among type 2 diabetics (Hasan et al., 2024). It has
453 been reported that self-care activity was found to be associated with good QoL among people
454 with diabetes (Alzahrani et al., 2023).

455 **Conclusion**

456 The present study highlights the significant associations between personality traits, social
457 support, treatment adherence, and self-care activities with various domains of quality of life
458 (QoL) among individuals with Type-1 Diabetes. Findings suggest that personality traits,
459 particularly extraversion, conscientiousness, and openness, were associated with better
460 physical, psychological, social, environmental, and overall QoL. Social support emerged as a
461 vital psychosocial resource, enhancing physical, psychological, social, and environmental
462 quality of life (QoL), as well as general health and overall QoL among type1 diabetic
463 persons. Moreover, adherence to treatment and regular engagement in self-care activities

464 were strongly correlated with improved physical health, psychological, social, environmental,
465 general health, and overall QoL. These results underscore the multifaceted nature of diabetes
466 management and the importance of integrating psychological, behavioral, and social
467 interventions in diabetes care. Enhancing personality strengths, strengthening support
468 systems, and encouraging consistent self-management behaviors can collectively contribute
469 to a higher quality of life among individuals living with Type 1 Diabetes.

470 **Implications and Future Directions**

471 The findings of this study carry important implications for clinical practice, public health
472 policies, and psychosocial interventions aimed at improving the quality of life among
473 individuals with Type 1 Diabetes. First, the results emphasize the need for a holistic and
474 person-centered approach in diabetes care that goes beyond medical treatment to include
475 psychological assessment and support. Incorporating personality profiling into patient care
476 can help healthcare providers tailor interventions that align with individual traits, thereby
477 enhancing motivation, adherence, and coping strategies. Second, given the strong role of
478 social support in improving various QoL domains, it is crucial to foster family, peer, and
479 community-based support systems, possibly through counselling, support groups, and health
480 education programs.

481 In terms of future directions, longitudinal studies are recommended to establish causal
482 relationships and better understand how these variables interact over time. Additionally,
483 exploring the role of digital tools and mobile health applications in promoting self-care
484 behaviors and treatment adherence could be a valuable area of research. Future studies may
485 also investigate the moderating and mediating effects of psychological variables such as self-
486 efficacy, health beliefs, and coping styles on the relationship between personality, support,
487 adherence, and QoL. Culturally sensitive and age-specific interventions should also be
488 developed, especially for adolescents and young adults with Type 1 Diabetes, to address their
489 unique psychosocial needs. Overall, these insights can inform integrated care models that
490 support both physical and psychological well-being, ultimately enhancing the long-term
491 health outcomes and life satisfaction of individuals with Type 1 Diabetes.

492

493

494 **References:**

- 495 1. WHO. (2023). *Diabetes*. World Health Organization. [https://www.who.int/health-](https://www.who.int/health-topics/diabetes#tab=tab_1)
496 [topics/diabetes#tab=tab_1](https://www.who.int/health-topics/diabetes#tab=tab_1)
- 497 2. Sapra, A., & Bhandari, P. (2023). *Diabetes mellitus*. PubMed; StatPearls Publishing.
498 <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK551501/>
- 499 3. Ogrotis, I., Koufakis, T., & Kotsa, K. (2023). Changes in the Global Epidemiology of
500 Type 1 Diabetes in an Evolving Landscape of Environmental Factors: Causes,
501 Challenges, and Opportunities. *Medicina*, 59(4), 668.
502 <https://doi.org/10.3390/medicina59040668>
- 503 4. Lucier, J., & Weinstock, R. S. (2024). *Diabetes mellitus type 1*. Nih.gov; StatPearls
504 Publishing. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK507713/>
- 505 5. Das, A. K. (2015). Type 1 diabetes in India: Overall insights. *Indian Journal of*
506 *Endocrinology and Metabolism*, 19(Suppl 1), S31–S33. [https://doi.org/10.4103/2230-](https://doi.org/10.4103/2230-8210.155372)
507 [8210.155372](https://doi.org/10.4103/2230-8210.155372)
- 508 6. Anjana, R. M., Ranjit Unnikrishnan, Mohan Deepa, Rajendra Pradeepa, Tandon, N.,
509 Ashok Kumar Das, Joshi, S. R., Bajaj, S., Puthiyaveetil Kottayam Jabbar, Hiranya
510 Kumar Das, Kumar, A., Dhandhanika, V. K., Bhansali, A., Paturi Vishnupriya Rao,
511 Desai, A., Kalra, S., Gupta, A., Ramakrishnan Lakshmy, Madhu, S. V., & Nirmal
512 Elangovan. (2023). Metabolic non-communicable disease health report of India: the
513 ICMR-INDIAB national cross-sectional study (ICMR-INDIAB-17). *The Lancet*
514 *Diabetes and Endocrinology*, 11(7). [https://doi.org/10.1016/s2213-8587\(23\)00119-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/s2213-8587(23)00119-5)
- 515 7. Dey, S. (2022, June 7). Type 1 diabetes rising among kids: National study. *The Times*
516 *of India*. [https://timesofindia.indiatimes.com/india/type-1-diabetes-rising-among-kids-](https://timesofindia.indiatimes.com/india/type-1-diabetes-rising-among-kids-national-study/articleshow/92046942.cms)
517 [national-study/articleshow/92046942.cms](https://timesofindia.indiatimes.com/india/type-1-diabetes-rising-among-kids-national-study/articleshow/92046942.cms)
- 518 8. American Psychological Association. (2014). *APA Dictionary of Psychology*.
519 Apa.org. <https://dictionary.apa.org/personality>
- 520 9. McCrae, R. R., & John, O. P. (1992). An Introduction to the five-factor Model and Its
521 Applications. *Journal of Personality*, 60(2), 175–215. [https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-6494.1992.tb00970.x)
522 [6494.1992.tb00970.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-6494.1992.tb00970.x)
- 523 10. Sabaté, E. (2003). *Adherence to long-term therapies: evidence for action*. World
524 Health Organization.
- 525 11. Ahola, A. J., & Groop, P.-H. . (2013). Barriers to self-management of diabetes.
526 *Diabetic Medicine*, 30(4), 413–420. <https://doi.org/10.1111/dme.12105>

- 527 12. Tewahido, D., & Berhane, Y. (2017). Self-Care Practices among Diabetes Patients in
528 Addis Ababa: A Qualitative Study. *PLOS ONE*, 12(1), e0169062.
529 <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0169062>
- 530 13. Bukhsh, A., Khan, T. M., Sarfraz Nawaz, M., Sajjad, H., Chan, K. G., Lee, L.-H., &
531 Goh, B.-H. (2018). Association of diabetes related self-care activities with glycemic
532 control of patients with type 2 diabetes in Pakistan. *Patient Preference and*
533 *Adherence, Volume 12*, 2377–2385. <https://doi.org/10.2147/ppa.s177314>
- 534 14. World Health Organization. (2012). *WHOQOL - measuring quality of life*. World
535 Health Organization. <https://www.who.int/tools/whoqol>
- 536 15. Aljawarneh, Y. M., Wood, G. L., Wardell, D. W., & Al-Jarrah, M. D. (2023). The
537 associations between physical activity, health-related quality of life, regimen
538 adherence, and glycemic control in adolescents with type 1 diabetes: A cross-sectional
539 study. *Primary Care Diabetes*. <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/37080862/>
- 540 16. Shockey, T. M. (2017, July 26). *Health-related Quality of Life (HRQOL): Variation*
541 *across occupation groups | Blogs | CDC*. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.
542 [https://blogs.cdc.gov/niosh-science-](https://blogs.cdc.gov/niosh-science-blog/2017/07/26/hrqol/#:~:text=Health%2Drelated%20quality%20of%20life%20(HRQOL)%20is%20an%20individual)
543 [blog/2017/07/26/hrqol/#:~:text=Health%2Drelated%20quality%20of%20life%20\(HR](https://blogs.cdc.gov/niosh-science-blog/2017/07/26/hrqol/#:~:text=Health%2Drelated%20quality%20of%20life%20(HRQOL)%20is%20an%20individual)
544 [QOL\)%20is%20an%20individual](https://blogs.cdc.gov/niosh-science-blog/2017/07/26/hrqol/#:~:text=Health%2Drelated%20quality%20of%20life%20(HRQOL)%20is%20an%20individual)
- 545 17. Nurul Fitriyah, Muhammad Wakhid Musthofa, & Pipit Pratiwi Rahayu. (2021).
546 Mathematics Model of Diabetes Mellitus Illness without Genetic Factors with
547 Treatment. *Kaunia: Jurnal Sains, Pendidikan Sains Dan Teknologi*, 17(1), 21–25.
548 <https://doi.org/10.14421/kaunia.3043>
- 549 18. Chantzaras, A., & Yfantopoulos, J. (2022). Association between medication adherence
550 and health-related quality of life of patients with diabetes. *Hormones*, 21(4), 691-705.
- 551 19. McLean, L., Gaul, D., & Penco, R. (2022). Perceived Social Support and Stress: a
552 Study of 1st Year Students in Ireland. *International Journal of Mental Health and*
553 *Addiction*, 21(4), 2101–2121. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11469-021-00710-z>
- 554 20. Mukherjee, A., Yadav, B. S., & Sarvottam, K. (2022). Comparative analysis of big
555 five personality traits in obese and normal weight type 2 diabetes mellitus patients.
556 *Journal of Family Medicine and Primary Care*, 11(2), 691–695.
557 https://doi.org/10.4103/jfmpc.jfmpc_1750_21
- 558 21. Liang, X., Zhang, P., Luo, S., Zhang, G., Tang, X., & Liu, L. (2021). The association
559 of quality of life and personality characteristics with adolescent metabolic syndrome:

560 a cohort study. *Health and Quality of Life Outcomes*, 19(1).
561 <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12955-021-01797-7>
562

UNDER PEER REVIEW IN JNHM