



## REVIEWER'S REPORT

Manuscript No.: JNHM-057

**Title:** Network Pharmacology study of antiepileptic active agents

### Recommendation:

Accept as it is ..... YES.....

Accept after minor revision.....

Accept after major revision .....

Do not accept (*Reasons below*) .....

| Rating         | Excel. | Good | Fair | Poor |
|----------------|--------|------|------|------|
| Originality    |        | √    |      |      |
| Techn. Quality |        | √    |      |      |
| Clarity        |        | √    |      |      |
| Significance   |        | √    |      |      |

Reviewer Name: PROF. DR DILLIP KUMAR MOHAPATRA

### *Detailed Reviewer's Report*

The manuscript titled “Network Pharmacology Study of Antiepileptic Active Agents”.

#### 1. Strengths of the Study

**Relevant and timely topic:** The study addresses epilepsy, a complex neurological disorder requiring multi-target therapeutic strategies, making network pharmacology an appropriate and modern approach.

**Rational methodological framework:** Integration of target prediction, disease target screening, compound–target–disease network construction, PPI analysis, and GO/KEGG enrichment provides a systematic and holistic investigation.

**Focus on hydantoin derivatives:** Hydantoins are a clinically validated scaffold (e.g., phenytoin), and exploring their multi-target mechanisms adds novelty and pharmacological relevance.

**Compound-wise network analysis:** Evaluation of individual compounds (1–10) using topological parameters (degree, betweenness, closeness) strengthens lead identification.

## REVIEWER'S REPORT

**Identification of key targets:** Highlighting mGluR5 and related glutamatergic signaling pathways is biologically plausible and well supported by existing epilepsy literature.

**Clear lead prioritization:** Compounds 4, 6, and 10 are logically identified as promising leads based on network influence.

### 2. Weaknesses of the Study

**Lack of experimental validation:** The study relies entirely on in-silico predictions; no in vitro, in vivo, or clinical validation is provided to confirm biological relevance.

**Limited justification for protein selection:** The exclusive focus on mGluR5 (PDB ID: 5KZQ) could be better justified, given that epilepsy involves multiple ion channels and receptors.

**Redundancy in references:** Some references are repeated or overlap in content, reducing citation diversity.

**Insufficient discussion on limitations:** The manuscript does not adequately discuss inherent limitations of network pharmacology tools, such as database bias and prediction uncertainty.

**Bioavailability uniformity:** Reporting identical bioavailability values (0.55) for all compounds may raise concerns regarding prediction realism and needs clarification.

**Language and formatting issues:** Minor grammatical errors, repetition, and formatting inconsistencies are present and require editorial polishing.

### 3. Significance of the Study

The study **enhances mechanistic understanding** of hydantoin derivatives beyond traditional single-target sodium channel modulation.

It **supports the concept of polypharmacology** in epilepsy treatment, aligning with modern drug discovery paradigms.

## REVIEWER'S REPORT

Identification of **glutamatergic signaling and mGluR5 as central hubs** provides valuable insights for future antiepileptic drug design.

The work offers a **computational screening framework** that can reduce time and cost in early-stage antiepileptic drug discovery.

The findings have translational potential by guiding **molecular docking, molecular dynamics, and experimental studies**.

### 4. Key Points of the Manuscript

Network pharmacology was applied to explore the **multi-target antiepileptic mechanisms** of hydantoin derivatives.

Target prediction and disease gene screening identified **shared epilepsy-associated targets**.

Compound–target–disease and PPI networks revealed **key hub genes**, particularly metabotropic glutamate receptors (GRM family).

GO and KEGG enrichment analyses highlighted pathways related to **glutamate signaling, calcium regulation, synaptic transmission, and neuronal excitability**.

**Compounds 4, 6, and 10** emerged as top lead candidates based on network topology.

The study provides a **computational foundation** for further docking, dynamics simulations, and experimental validation.